## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case	No.	SACV 12-1498 (AG) (ANx)			Date	September 19, 2012			
Title		DURST V. FIRST SOUTHERN CAPITAL I			ELOPME	ENT CORPORATION			
Present: The Honorable			ANDREW J. GUILFORD						
Lisa Bredahl			Not Present						
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.				
Attorneys Present			for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		ent for Defendants:			
Proc	eedir	ngs: [IN	CHAMBERSI OI	RDER TO SH	OW CA	USE RE			
Proceedings: [IN CHAMBERS] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER									
JURISDICTION									
The complaint seeks to allege diversity jurisdiction. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1332. However, the exercise of diversity jurisdiction would be improper for the reason(s) checked below:									
[X]	The complaint sets forth only the residence, rather than the citizenship, of the parties, but diversity or alienage is based upon a party's citizenship. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. 1332(a).								
[]	A corporation is joined as a party. The complaint fails to set forth either the corporation's state of incorporation or its principal place of business (both must be set forth). <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c).								
[]	A partnership or unincorporated association is joined as a party. For diversity or alienage jurisdiction to be proper, none of the partners or members, including limited partners, can be a citizen of the same state as any opposing party. The citizenship of all the entity's partners must therefore be alleged. <i>Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.</i> , 494 U.S. 185, 192-96, 110 S. Ct. 1015, 1019-21, 108 L. Ed. 2d								

CV-90 (06/04)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case	No.	SACV 12-1498 (AG) (ANx)		Date	September 19, 2012				
Title		DURST V. FIRST SOUTHERN CAPITAL	L DEVE	LOPMEN	NT CORPORATION				
		(1990); <i>Rockwell Int'l Credit Corp. v. Ut</i> 302, 304 (9th Cir. 1987).	nited St	ates Airc	raft Ins. Group, 823				
[]	The complaint alleges at least one of the parties is a limited liability company, but the complaint fails to allege the citizenship of each of its members, as required in a determination of diversity jurisdiction under section 1332(a). <i>Johnson v. Columbia Props. Anchorage, LP</i> , 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that "like a partnership, an LLC is a citizen of every state of which its owners/members are citizens.")								
[X]	All plaintiffs are not diverse from all defendants. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332; see also Strawbridge v. Curtiss, 7 U.S. (3 Cranch) 267 (1806).								
[X]	The complaint fails to allege the citizenship of one or more parties. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1332.								
[ ]		The complaint fails to allege an amount in controversy in excess of \$75,000. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1332.							
[ ]	Defendant did not timely remove the Complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).								
Accordingly, the Court orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing within 14 days of the date of this order why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Defendant(s) may submit a response in the same time period. An amended complaint correcting the deficiencies will be deemed a sufficient response to this order to show cause.									
					: 0				
			itials of eparer		lmb				