Defendant Nike's Motion for Summary Judgment and for Judgment on the Pleadings was GRANTED. (Doc. 180) There were no triable issues of material fact as to the causes of action asserted in Plaintiff Oakley, Inc.'s ("Oakley") First Amended Complaint against Nike. Oakley failed to demonstrate any facts supporting its cause of action for intentional interference with contractual relations. Oakley could not maintain its claim for relief for unfair competition under California Business and

25

26

27

28

1	Professions Code Section 17200. Oakley's claim for declaratory relief failed as a
2	matter of law because the claim attempts to remedy past wrongdoing and is duplicative
3	of Oakley's other two claims.
4	
5	Accordingly, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendant Nike and against
6	Plaintiff Oakley on all causes of action in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Van 7/10/2
9	Dated: December 30, 2013
10	Honorable James V. Selna
11	United States District Judge
12	Respectfully submitted by:
13	JEFFREY T. THOMAS
14	JEFFREY H. REEVES JOSEPH A. GORMAN
15	SEAN S. TWOMEY GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
16	By: /s/ Jeffrey T. Thomas
17	Jeffrey T. Thomas
18	Attorneys for Defendant NIKE, INC.
19	
20	JUDGMENT RE MSJ.DOCX
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	