

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TVB HOLDINGS (USA), INC.,)	Case No. SACV 13-0624 RNB
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
ENOM, INC., et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

To date, no proofs of service have been filed, and it therefore appears to the Court that none of the remaining defendants has been served within the 120-day period allowed for accomplishment of service of the summons and complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). By the Court’s calculation, the service period expired here on August 19, 2013.

Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 4(m) and Local Rule 41-1, plaintiff is ORDERED to show good cause, if there be any, why service was not made within the 120-day period and why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution. Plaintiff shall attempt to show such cause by filing a declaration, signed by plaintiff’s counsel under penalty of perjury, within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this Order. **If plaintiff does not timely file such a declaration or if plaintiff fails to show good cause for its failure to timely serve, this action**

1 **will be subject to dismissal without prejudice for failure to prosecute.** See Fed.
2 R. Civ. P. 4(m); Local Rule 41-1; Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.
3 Ct. 1386, 8 L. Ed. 2d 734 (1962); see also Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th
4 Cir. 1988).

5
6 DATED: September 3, 2013



7
8
9 ROBERT N. BLOCK
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28