

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.,  
  
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,

v.

D. NICOLE ENTERPRISES, LLC and  
DUSHAWN THOMAS,  
  
Defendants/Counterclaimants

Case No: 8:13-cv-00885-JLS-JPR

Assigned to: Hon. Josephine L. Staton

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
DUSHAWN THOMAS SHOULD  
NOT BE FOUND IN CONTEMPT  
OF COURT**

1 On February 20, 2014, this Court entered a Default Judgment and Permanent  
2 Injunction against D. Nicole Enterprises, LLC, and a voluntary dismissal as to  
3 DuShawn Thomas, its CEO. (Order, Doc. 44.) On January 30, 2017, the Ninth  
4 Circuit affirmed (Mem. Disp., Doc. 52), and, on February 21, 2017, the Ninth  
5 Circuit issued the mandate. (Mandate, Doc. 53.)

6 This Court's Permanent Injunction enjoined D. Nicole Enterprises and its  
7 officers from "[r]egistering, maintaining the registration of, or filing any  
8 application to register, any trademark or service mark containing or consisting of  
9 the word ENTREPRENEUR, including ENTREPRENEURESS . . . ." (Order at  
10 2.) D. Nicole Enterprises has a pending application to register the trademark  
11 ENTREPRENEURESS. (Application, Doc. 54-2.) After the Ninth Circuit issued  
12 the mandate, D. Nicole Enterprises purported to assign its rights to that trademark  
13 application to DuShawn Thomas, who confirmed on the assignment that she  
14 remains the CEO of D. Nicole Enterprises. (Assignment, Doc. 54-3.)

15 Based on the papers submitted, EMI's Application for an order to show  
16 cause why DuShawn Thomas should not be held in contempt is GRANTED as  
17 follows:

- 18 1. DuShawn Thomas is ORDERED to appear at 2:30 P.M. on Friday,  
19 May 12, 2017 in Courtroom 10A to show cause why she should not be  
20 found in contempt of this Court's February 20, 2014 Permanent  
21 Injunction;
- 22 2. EMI shall serve this order upon DuShawn Thomas within **three (3)**  
23 **days**;
- 24 3. DuShawn Thomas shall have **twenty-one (21) days** from the date of  
25 service of this Order to submit a brief not to exceed twenty-five pages  
26 explaining why she should not be found in contempt;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. EMI shall have **thirty-one (31) days** from the date of service of this Order on DuShawn Thomas to submit a reply not to exceed twenty-five pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 04, 2017



---

Hon. Josephine L. Staton  
United States District Judge