

1 SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, LLP  
 2 GILBERT M. NISHIMURA (SBN 57905)  
 gnishimura@snw-law.com  
 3 KARI C. KADOMATSU (SBN 270036)  
 kkadomatsu@snw-law.com  
 4 605 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 900  
 5 Los Angeles, California 90015  
 Telephone: (213) 481-2869  
 6 Facsimile: (213) 481-2871  
 7

8 Attorneys for Defendant DEBBIE GALLEGO

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 11

12 ELIZABETH CHAVIRA, on behalf of  
 13 herself and her two minor daughters,  
 14 C.R. and M.R., as their guardian ad  
 15 litem,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 J. CHAVEZ (individual capacity),  
 19 DEBBIE GALLEGO (individual  
 20 capacity), JOSEPH HERNANDEZ  
 21 (individual capacity), ANTONIO  
 22 RAMIREZ (individual capacity), JOSE  
 23 RAMIREZ (individual capacity),  
 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DOES  
 24 1 -10, inclusive,

25 Defendants.

Case No.: SACV13-0890 JVS (ANx)

(Assigned to the Hon. James V. Selna,  
Courtroom 10C)

**JUDGMENT ON ORDER RE:  
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
 JUDGMENT FILED BY  
 DEFENDANT DEBBIE GALLEGO**

**Complaint Filed: June 11, 2013**

**Trial Date: Vacated**

26 //

27 //


1 The Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial  
2 Summary Judgment filed by Defendant Sergeant Debbie Gallego pursuant to  
3 Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 56 against Plaintiffs, Elizabeth Chavira, M.R. and C.R.,  
4 Complaint for Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Negligence Per Se came on for  
5 hearing before this Court, in Courtroom 10C, located on the 10<sup>th</sup> floor of the  
6 United States Central District Court of California located at 411 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Street,  
7 Santa Ana, California, the Honorable James V. Selna, Judge presiding.

8 Upon the evidence being submitted and fully considered and a decision  
9 having being duly rendered, the following ORDERS were made:

10 IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendant Debbie Gallego's  
11 Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED. [Doc. 232]. As such, all causes  
12 of action asserted by Plaintiffs against Defendant Debbie Gallego are dismissed  
13 with prejudice and as the prevailing party, Defendant Debbie Gallego is entitled  
14 to reasonable costs incurred to defend this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro.  
15 54(d) and Local Rule 54.

16 **This Order does not constitute a certification under Rule 54(b) of the**  
17 **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.**

18  
19 Dated: September 18, 2015

20 By:   
21 HON. JAMES V. SELNA  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28