

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

GEORGE WILLIAMS, LORENDA
OVERMAN, GERALD CHIARIELLO
II, CHARLES PENCINGER, STEVE
OETEGENN, BRIAN GILDERMAN,
JOSEPH RAMOS, ADAM DANIEL
JACKS, MARK D. COOPERMAN,
GERALD L. WASHINGTON,
EARNEST J. CAMILLERI, JR.,
SCOTT MARKOWITZ, JOE DiORIO,
THOMAS BLATT, MATTHEW J.
BONZELLA, JOE GARSETTI, PHILIP
KIRSOPP. WILLIAM KRATZ,
WILLIAM NEFF and JAMES R.
KRAPF on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YAMAHA MOTOR CO., LTD.
and YAMAHA MOTOR
CORPORATION, U.S.A.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-5066 BRO (VBK)
Consolidated with Case No. 13-cv-01225
BRO (VBK) *and* Case No. 13-cv-07949
BRO (VBK)

JUDGMENT

1 On August 19, 2014 (DKT#78), this Court dismissed the claims brought against
2 Defendant Yamaha Motor Co., Ltd. on the basis of lack of jurisdiction.

3 On April 29, 2015 (DKT #111), this Court entered an Order Granting Defendant
4 Yamaha Motor Co., U.S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss ("April 29 Order"). In the April 29
5 Order, the Court dismissed, with prejudice, all claims in the Second Amended and
6 Consolidated Class Action Complaint filed by Plaintiffs on February 2, 2015.

7 Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY
8 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant
9 Yamaha Motor Co., Ltd. are hereby dismissed.

10 Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY
11 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant
12 Yamaha Motor Corporation, U.S.A. are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and that
13 judgment is entered in favor of Defendant Yamaha Motor Corporation, U.S.A.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15 Dated: May 29, 2015

16 By:



17 HON. BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL
18 United States District Court Judge