

O

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **United States District Court**
9 **Central District of California**
10

11 PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR
12 SOLUTIONS LLC,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
16 Defendant.

Case No. 8:13-cv-01535-ODW(JEMx)

**ORDER SETTING TECHNOLOGY
TUTORIAL**

17 PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR
18 SOLUTIONS LLC,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
22 Defendant.

Case No. 8:14-cv-00330-ODW(JEMx)

23 Per the Court's Patent Standing Order, the Court finds that a technology tutorial
24 will aid in adjudicating these actions. The Court therefore **SETS** a technology tutorial
25 in both actions for **Wednesday, July 16, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.** The Court expects the
26 parties to meet and confer and, if possible, to present a joint tutorial not to exceed one
27 hour. If the parties cannot agree on a joint presentation, then each side will be
28 permitted 30 minutes to present a short summary and explanation of the technology at

1 issue. The tutorial should provide a neutral, objective overview of the technology, the
2 prior art, and the patents involved. No argument will be permitted. Visual aids and
3 demonstrative exhibits are strongly encouraged. The tutorial will be off the record
4 and not transcribed.

5 The parties shall lodge with the Court all materials utilized in the technology
6 tutorial in advance of the proceeding. The parties are permitted to include as an
7 additional attachment a memorandum—not to exceed five pages—summarizing the
8 materials and tutorial. The parties must lodge the materials for the Court’s review by
9 **Wednesday, July 9, 2014.**

10 The parties may elect to present the tutorial through counsel, experts, or both.
11 If a party intends to utilize an expert in the technology tutorial, a statement of the
12 expert’s qualifications must be submitted as an additional attachment to the lodged
13 materials.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 June 23, 2014

17
18 

19 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
20 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**