Sream Inc v	Shamrock Smoke Shop Inc et al	Doc.	40
1			
1			
2 3		E-FILED 8/6/14	
4		JS-6	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	WESTER	N DIVISION	
12			
13	SREAM, INC,	Case No. 8:13-cv-01868-PSG-AGR	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED FINAL	
15	v.	JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
16	MEDICI INVESTMENT, INC. d/b/a		
17	EMPIRE TOBACCO; HASSAN NURU		
18	d/b/a SHAMROCK SMOKE SHOP; and DOES 1-10 INCLUSIVE,		
19			
20	Defendants.		
21			
22 23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JUDGMENT		
	JUDO	JIVIDIN I	
		Dockets.Justia.c	om

FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

This Court, having made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to the parties' stipulation:

- A. Plaintiff Sream, Inc. ("Sream" or "Plaintiff") filed suit against Defendant Medici Investment, Inc. d/b/a "Empire Tobacco" ("Empire"), alleging that Empire violated Sream's rights under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1125(a), (c), and (d), and Cal. Bus & Prof. § 17200 *et seq.* ("Action");
- B. The Parties entered into a settlement agreement as of July 2014 ("Settlement Agreement"), which requires entry of the stipulated judgment set forth herein;

And good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. That judgment be entered in favor of Sream against Empire on all claims.
- 2. For the purposes of binding preclusive effect on Empire as to future disputes with respect to the Action or Settlement Agreement between Empire on the one hand and Sream on the other hand, and only for such purposes, Empire admits the following:
 - a. Mr. Martin Birzle is now, and has been at all times since the dates of issuance, the owner of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,235,638; 2,307,176; and 3,675,839 (the "RooR Marks") and of all rights thereto and thereunder.
 - b. Since at least 2011, Plaintiff Sream has been the exclusive licensee of the RooR Marks in the United States. Mr. Brizle has been granted all enforcement rights to Sream to sue for obtain injunctive and monetary relief for past and future infringement of the RooR Marks.
 - c. Empire, by the actions described in the complaint, has infringed upon the RooR Marks.
- 3. Empire, and those on Empire's behalf, including their owners, shareholders, principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, independent contractors, and partners, are permanently enjoined from using the RooR Marks (including, without limitation the term "RooR") and confusingly similar terms (collectively, the "Injunction").

1	4.	Empire is bound by the Injunction regardless of whether Mr. Martin Birzle	
2	assigns o	r licenses its intellectual property rights to another for so long as such trademark	
3	rights are	e subsisting, valid, and enforceable. The Injunction inures to the benefit of Mr.	
4	Martin B	irzle's successors, assignees, and licensees.	
5	5.	This Court (or if this Court is unavailable, any court within the Central District	
6	of California) shall retain jurisdiction over all disputes between and among the Parties		
7	arising out of the Settlement Agreement and Injunction, the Stipulation which includes the		
8	Injunction, and this final judgment, including but not limited to interpretation and		
9	enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement.		
10	6.	The Parties waive any rights to appeal this stipulated judgment, including	
11	without limitation the Injunction.		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14			
15		0/6/4/4	
16	Dated: _	PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ	
17		Philip S. Gutierrez	
18		Philip S. Gutierrez United States District Court Judge	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		3	
		JUDGMENT	