

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION**

SREAM, INC, a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SALLY YUN, CHECK YOUR HEAD  
AGAIN LLC, and MUSIC REVOLUTION,  
INC.; and DOES 1-10 INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:14-cv-01000-BRO-AN

**STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT  
AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

**JUDGMENT**

1 **FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

2 This Court, having made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law  
3 pursuant to the parties’ stipulation:

4 A. Plaintiff Sream, Inc. (“Sream” or “Plaintiff”) filed suit against Defendant Sally  
5 Yun, an individual doing business as 9M Smoke Shop (“Yun”), alleging that Yun violated  
6 Sream’s rights under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1125(a), (c), and (d), and Cal. Bus & Prof. §  
7 17200 *et seq.* (“Action”);

8 B. The Parties entered into a settlement agreement as of August 2014  
9 (“Settlement Agreement”), which requires entry of the stipulated judgment set forth herein;

10 And good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED,  
11 AND DECREED THAT:

12 1. That judgment be entered in favor of Sream against Yun on all claims.

13 2. For the purposes of binding preclusive effect on Yun as to future disputes with  
14 respect to the Action or Settlement Agreement between Yun on the one hand and Sream on  
15 the other hand, and only for such purposes, Yun admits the following:

16 a. Mr. Martin Birzle is now, and has been at all times since the dates of issuance,  
17 the owner of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,235,638; 2,307,176;  
18 and 3,675,839 (the “RooR Marks”) and of all rights thereto and thereunder.

19 b. Since at least 2011, Plaintiff Sream has been the exclusive licensee of the  
20 RooR Marks in the United States. Mr. Birzle has been granted all  
21 enforcement rights to Sream to sue for obtain injunctive and monetary relief  
22 for past and future infringement of the RooR Marks.

23 c. Yun, by the actions described in the complaint, has infringed upon the RooR  
24 Marks.

25 3. Yun, and those on Yun’s behalf, including their owners, shareholders,  
26 principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, independent contractors, and partners, are  
27 permanently enjoined from using the RooR Marks (including, without limitation the term  
28 “RooR”) and confusingly similar terms (collectively, the “Injunction”).

1           4.     Yun is bound by the Injunction regardless of whether Mr. Martin Birzle  
2 assigns or licenses its intellectual property rights to another for so long as such trademark  
3 rights are subsisting, valid, and enforceable. The Injunction inures to the benefit of Mr.  
4 Martin Birzle's successors, assignees, and licensees.

5           5.     This Court (or if this Court is unavailable, any court within the Central District  
6 of California) shall retain jurisdiction over all disputes between and among the Parties  
7 arising out of the Settlement Agreement and Injunction, the Stipulation which includes the  
8 Injunction, and this final judgment, including but not limited to interpretation and  
9 enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10          6.     The Parties waive any rights to appeal this stipulated judgment, including  
11 without limitation the Injunction.

12                   **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 Dated: September 2, 2014



HONORABLE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE