
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. SACV 14-01336-JLS (AN)

Date: April 30, 2015

Title: *Micro Imaging Technology, Inc. v. Michael W. Brennan et al.*

Present: **Honorable JOSEPHINE L. STATON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Terry Guerrero
Deputy Clerk

N/A
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF: ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT:

Not Present

Not Present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (“OSC”) and
VACATING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

On February 23, 2015, the Court set a scheduling conference for May 1, 2015, and ordered counsel to “file a Joint 26(f) Report . . . **no later than 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference.**” (Doc. 19 ¶ 1.) Parties were also ordered to submit a “completed ADR-01 ‘Settlement Procedure Selection’ Form” along with their joint 26(f) Report. (*Id.* ¶ 1(k).) Pursuant to the Court’s discretion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2)(A)(v)-(vii), failure to submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report may result in the Court dismissing the case, entering a default, and/or finding the parties in contempt of court for failure to obey a court order.

On its own motion, therefore, the Court hereby orders counsel to show cause why the Court should not dismiss this action, enter a default, and/or find the parties in contempt for counsel’s failure to submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report and completed ADR-01 form. **No later than** May 1, 2015, counsel shall submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report, a completed ADR-01 form, and a separate written response explaining why counsel has failed to comply with the Court’s filing deadlines.

The Court VACATES the May 1, 2015 Scheduling Conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer: tg