UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM

Case I	No.	SACV 14-	1358 DSF (JEMx)		Date	11/25/14	
Title	Te	Γerry Bjelajac v. Supervalu, Inc.					
Present: The Honorable			DALE S. FISCHER, United States District Judge				
Debra Plato				Not Present			
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter				
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:				Attorneys Present for Defendants:			
Not Present				Not Present			
Proc	eedi	ngs: ()	Chambers) Order DENYING Motion to Remand (Dkt. No. 22)				

The motion to remand is DENIED as the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. Plaintiff's arguments are not well-taken. The class definition in the complaint defines the class in terms of people who worked in a particular district, not people who worked for a particular person. Defendant claims that it has not directly employed any pharmacists in California during the relevant class period, but that its subsidiaries did. Plaintiff attempts to exclude subsidiary employees for the purposes of the amount in controversy, but he refuses to state in any specific way which pharmacists he thinks were employed by Defendant. Therefore, it is perfectly reasonable to include all pharmacists who might be part of the controversy in the calculation of the amount in controversy.

IT IS SO ORDERED.