1		JS-6
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION	
11	DANIEL SCOTT, individually and on behalf of other members of the public	Case No. 8:14-CV-01911-JLS-RNB
12	similarly situated,	
13	Plaintiff,	FINAL JUDGMENT
14	V.	
15	HSS INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19 20	This matter, having come before this Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final	
20	Approval of Class Action Settlement, with adequate notice having been given as	
21	required in the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Joint Stipulation of	
22	Settlement and Release ("Preliminary Approval Order"), and the Court having	
23	considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and good cause appearing	
24	therefor, it is hereby ORDERED that:	
25	The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action, the	
26	Defendant, and the Plaintiff;	
27	Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement, and Plaintiffs' Motion for	
28	Attorneys' Fees and Costs is hereby granted.	
	-1-	
		Dockets.Justia

All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as given them in the
 Settlement.

The Court hereby certifies the Class during the Settlement Class Period as set
forth in the Settlement, for purposes of this Settlement only.

The Court finds that the Notice of Class Action Settlement provided to the
Class pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order fully and accurately informed all
Class Members of the material elements of the proposed settlement, constituted the
best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted valid, due and
sufficient notice to all Class Members;

The Court grants final approval of the settlement as fair, reasonable and
adequate in all respects, determines that the settlement was made in good faith and
in the best interests of the Parties, and orders the Parties to consummate the
settlement in accordance with the terms of the Amended Joint Stipulation of
Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement");

The Court hereby finds the monetary settlement of \$888,428.89 plus the
payment of employer-side payroll taxes on the wage component of Individual
Settlement Payments provided for in the Settlement to be fair, reasonable, and
adequate.

19 The Court hereby confirms as Class Counsel James Hawkins and Gregory20 Mauro of James Hawkins, APLC.

The Court approves payment of \$41,000 to Simpluris, Inc., the appointed
Claims Administrator, for the services it has rendered and will render in
administering the settlement as described more fully in the Settlement Agreement;

The Court approves payment of \$18,750 to the California Labor & Workforce
Development Agency as its share of penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys
General Act, Cal. Lab. Code § 2698 *et seq*.;

The Court approves payment of \$222,107.07 in Attorneys' Fees to James
Hawkins, APLC and litigation costs in the amount of \$30,000.

-2-

The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff as the class representatives and orders
 payment of \$4,000 to the named Plaintiff for his service as the representative and for
 his release of claims contained in the Settlement.

4 The Court approves Class Members having one hundred and twenty (120)
5 days from the date of the check's issuance to cash their Individual Settlement
6 Payment check.

Named Plaintiff and each of the members of the Class shall, to the extent
stated in the Settlement Agreement and any amendments thereto, be deemed to
have, and by operation of the Settlement Agreement and this Order, fully, finally,
and forever release, relinquish and discharge all Released Claims against each and
all of the Released Parties. In addition to the foregoing release, Named Plaintiff
shall be bound by the general release of all claims as stated in the Settlement
Agreement.

14 To the extent stated in the Settlement Agreement, all members of the Class 15 are forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any and all of the Released Claims 16 against any and all of the Released Parties. Named Plaintiff shall also be bound by 17 the general release of all claims as stated in the Settlement Agreement. Neither the Settlement Agreement nor the settlement contained herein, nor any act performed or 18 19 document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the 20 settlement: (i) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or 21 evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of 22 the Released Parties; (ii) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission 23 of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Released Parties in any civil, 24 criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other 25 tribunal; or (iii) shall be offered in evidence or alleged in any pleading by any party hereto, Defendant's counsel or Class Counsel for any purpose adverse to the 26 Released Parties. Notwithstanding these restrictions, the Released Parties may file 27 28 the Settlement Agreement and/or this Order in any other action that may be brought

-3-

against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res
 judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or
 reduction or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or
 counterclaim.

5 The Court further orders that the deadline for filing a report regarding the
6 distribution of funds pursuant to the Settlement Agreement is April 30, 2018.

Without affecting the finality of the Judgment in any way, the Court reserves
exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the action and the Parties for purposes of
supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration and
effectuation of this Order, Final Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.
13
14 Dated: December 18, 2017
15
16

Hon. Josephine L. Staton U.S. District Judge