1 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH, et al.,

Plaintiff,

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,

CONCLUSIONS, AND

RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED

STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Defendants.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the pleadings and all the records and files herein, as well as the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed. The Court hereby approves and accepts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge, subject to certain modifications, which are stated below:

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) Plaintiff's Third claim in the Second Amended Complaint for § 1983 liability against Defendant City of Newport Beach is dismissed without prejudice; (2) Plaintiff's Fourth, Fifth and Sixth claims in the Second Amended Complaint against Defendant City of Newport Beach are dismissed with prejudice; (3) Defendants' motion to strike Plaintiff's prayer for punitive damages is Denied; (4) Defendants' motion to strike Plaintiff's prayer for attorney

fees is Denied without prejudice; and (5) Further leave to amend the Second Amended Complaint against Defendant City of Newport Beach is Granted as to Plaintiff's § 1983 claim; provided, however, in light of the discovery that has been conducted in this action and other information that is reasonably available to Plaintiff, any such amended complaint shall set forth detailed allegations to support any claim that is reasserted, such allegations shall be ones for which Plaintiff has a good faith basis consistent with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, and such a claim shall not be premised on allegations of a failure to train by the City of Newport Beach . See Flores v. Cty. of L.A., 758 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2014). In drafting any amended complaint Plaintiff shall also be mindful that the proposed amendments identified in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation are insufficient to state a § 1983 claim.

June 2, 2016 DATED:

am n

JOHN A. KRONSTADT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE