

JS-6

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SOUTHERN DIVISION

4
5
6 **KIRSTEN COOK and ESTATE OF**
7 **ETHAN COOK,**

8 **Plaintiffs,**

9 **v.**

10
11 **STEVEN DRAGNA *et al.*,**


12 **Defendants.**
13
14

} **Case No.: SACV 15-01448-CJC(JCGx)**

} **FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO**
} **DEFENDANT ALAN COOK**

15
16 On February 8, 2017, the Court dismissed with prejudice Plaintiffs' claim against
17 Defendant Alan Cook for violation of the Bane Act, California Civil Code § 52.1. (Dkt.
18 182.) The Court declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Kirsten
19 Cook's remaining claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3); those claims were
20 dismissed without prejudice to enable Plaintiff Kirsten Cook to file them in state court.
21 (*Id.*) In accordance with the Court's Order, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND
22 ADJUDGED that judgment is entered against Plaintiffs and in favor of Defendant Alan
23 Cook as to the Bane Act claim. Defendant Alan Cook is entitled to recover his costs.

24
25 DATED: March 23, 2017

26 
27

28 **CORMAC J. CARNEY**
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE