

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SREAM, INC, a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZBI ENTERPRISES, LLC; GANPATI  
INVESTMENTS, INC.; ANAHEIM  
DREAM TOBACCO, INC.; and DOES 110  
INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

Case No. SACV15-01688-CJC-DFM

**STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT  
AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION  
AGAINST DEFENDANT ZBI  
ENTERPRISES, LLC**

---

**JUDGMENT**

1 **FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

2 This Court, having made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law  
3 pursuant to the parties' stipulation:

4 A. Plaintiff Sream, Inc. ("Sream" or "Plaintiff") filed suit against Defendant ZBI  
5 Enterprises, LLC ("ZBI Enterprises"), alleging that ZBI Enterprises violated Sream's rights  
6 under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1125(a), (c), and (d), and Cal. Bus & Prof. § 17200 *et seq.*  
7 ("Action");

8 B. The Parties entered into a settlement agreement as of November 2015  
9 ("Settlement Agreement"), which requires entry of the stipulated judgment set forth herein;

10 And good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED,  
11 AND DECREED THAT:

12 1. That judgment be entered in favor of Sream against ZBI Enterprises on all  
13 claims.

14 2. For the purposes of binding preclusive effect on ZBI Enterprises as to future  
15 disputes between ZBI Enterprises and Sream, and only for such purposes, ZBI Enterprises  
16 admits the following:

17 a. Mr. Martin Birzle is now, and has been at all times since the dates of issuance,  
18 the owner of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,235,638; 2,307,176;  
19 and 3,675,839 (the "RooR Marks") and of all rights thereto and thereunder.

20 b. The RooR Marks are valid and enforceable.

21 c. Since at least 2011, Plaintiff Sream has been the exclusive licensee of the  
22 RooR Marks in the United States. Mr. Birzle has been granted all  
23 enforcement rights to Sream to sue for obtain injunctive and monetary relief  
24 for past and future infringement of the RooR Marks.

25 d. ZBI Enterprises, by the actions described in the complaint, has infringed upon  
26 the RooR Marks.

27 3. ZBI Enterprises, and those acting on ZBI Enterprises's behalf (including its  
28 owners, shareholders, principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, independent

1 contractors, and partners), are permanently enjoined from producing, manufacturing,  
2 distributing, selling, offer for sale, advertising, promoting, licensing, or marketing (a) any  
3 product bearing the RooR Marks or (b) any design, mark, or feature that is confusingly  
4 similar to the RooR Marks (collectively, the “**Injunction**”).


5 4. ZBI Enterprises is bound by the Injunction regardless of whether Mr. Martin  
6 Birzle assigns or licenses its intellectual property rights to another for so long as such  
7 trademark rights are subsisting, valid, and enforceable. The Injunction inures to the benefit  
8 of Mr. Martin Birzle’s successors, assignees, and licensees.

9 5. This Court (or if this Court is unavailable, any court within the Central District  
10 of California) shall retain jurisdiction over all disputes between and among the Parties  
11 arising out of the Settlement Agreement and Injunction, the Stipulation which includes the  
12 Injunction, and this final judgment, including but not limited to interpretation and  
13 enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

14 6. The Parties waive any rights to appeal this stipulated judgment, including  
15 without limitation the Injunction.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 Dated: November 24, 2015\_\_\_\_\_

20  
21   
22 Cormac J. Carney  
23 United States District Court Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28