SECOND AMENDED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

inclusive,

27

28

COFIROUTE USA, LLC; and DOES 3-10,

Defendants.

# 1. PURPOSE AND LIMITS OF THIS ORDER.

Discovery in this action is likely to involve confidential, proprietary, or
private information requiring special protection from public disclosure and from
use for any purpose other than this litigation. Thus, the Court enters this Second
Amended Stipulated Protective Order. This Order does not confer blanket
protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery, and the protection it gives
from public disclosure and use extends only to the specific material entitled to
confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. Specifically, this
Order provides protections for material including personally-identifiable
information ("PII") of (i) drivers who drove on the toll roads in Orange County,
California (State Routes 91, 73, 133, 241, or 261), (ii) individuals and entities that
own or owned vehicles that drove on the toll roads in Orange County, California,
(iii) individuals and entities who have an account with the Orange County
Transportation Authority, Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, San
Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, or another toll agency in California,
and (iv) individuals and entities that provided information to the Orange County
Transportation Authority, Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, San
Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, or their respective designated
agents. Any materials previously produced and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL
- FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to any prior protective orders
entered in this case shall be treated as material designated "CONFIDENTIAL"
pursuant to this Second Amended Protective Order and treatment of such material
shall be in compliance with the terms of this Order. However, to the extent a non-
party has already executed an agreement to be bound by a prior protective order in
this action, that non-party shall not be required to execute an Agreement to Be
Bound (Exhibit A) as a result of the entry of this Second Amended Protective
Order. Instead, the non-party shall be deemed to have executed An Agreement to
Be Bound (Exhibit A), and shall be subject to the terms of this Amended Protective

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# Order, which shall be provided to the non-party. This Order does not automatically authorize the filing under seal of material designated under this Order. Instead, the parties must comply with L.R. 79-5.1 if they seek to file anything under seal. This Order does not govern the use at trial of material designated under this Order.

### 2. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL.

# 2.1 Over-Designation Prohibited.

Any party or non-party who designates information or items for protection under this Order as "CONFIDENTIAL" (a "designator") must only designate specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. To the extent practicable, only those parts of documents, items, or oral or written communications that require protection shall be designated. Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Unjustified designations expose the designator to sanctions, including the Court's striking all confidentiality designations made by that designator. Designation under this Order is allowed only if the designation is necessary to protect material that, if disclosed to persons not authorized to view it, would cause competitive or other recognized harm, and/or could expose the producing party to potential civil or criminal liability under local, state, federal, or international law. Material may not be produced under this Protective Order to the extent it relates to any past or ongoing criminal or grand jury investigation. Material may not be designated if it has been made public, or if designation is otherwise unnecessary to protect a secrecy interest. If a designator learns that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that designator must promptly notify all parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

27

28

# 2.2 Manner and Timing of Designations.

Designation under this Order requires the designator to affix the applicable legend ("CONFIDENTIAL") to each page that contains protected material. For testimony given in deposition or other proceeding, the designator shall specify all protected testimony. It may make that designation during the deposition or proceeding, or may invoke, on the record or by written notice to all parties on or before the next business day, a right to have up to 60 days from the deposition or proceeding to make its designation.

- 2.2.1 A party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting party has identified which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all material shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL. After the inspecting party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the producing party must designate the documents, or portions thereof, that qualify for protection under this Order.
- 2.2.2 Parties shall give advance notice if they expect a deposition or other proceeding to include designated material so that the other parties can ensure that only authorized individuals are present at those proceedings when such material is disclosed or used. The use of a document as an exhibit at a deposition shall not in any way affect its designation. Transcripts containing designated material shall have a legend on the title page noting the presence of designated material, and the title page shall be followed by a list of all pages (including line numbers as appropriate) that have been designated, and the level of protection being asserted. The designator shall inform the court reporter of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared before the expiration of the 60-day period for designation shall be treated during that period as if it had been

designated CONFIDENTIAL unless otherwise agreed. After the expiration of the 60-day period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated.

# 2.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate.

An inadvertent failure to designate does not, standing alone, waive protection under this Order. Upon timely assertion or correction of a designation, all recipients must make reasonable efforts to ensure that the material is treated according to this Order.

# 3. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS.

All challenges to confidentiality designations shall proceed under L.R. 37-1 through L.R. 37-4.

# 4. ACCESS TO DESIGNATED MATERIAL.

# 4.1 Basic Principles.

A receiving party may use designated material only for this litigation. "Receiving party" as used in this Section refers to all parties to this action who may receive designated material as the result of all discovery in this litigation being served on all parties. Designated material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order for purposes related to this litigation.

# 4.2 Disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL Material Without Further Approval.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted in writing by the designator, a receiving party may disclose any material designated CONFIDENTIAL only to:

- 4.2.1 The receiving party's counsel of record in this action and employees of said counsel of record to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary;
- **4.2.2** The officers, directors, in-house counsel, and employees of the receiving party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary;

- 4.2.3 Experts retained by the receiving party's counsel of record to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary, and who have signed the Agreement to Be Bound (Exhibit A);
- **4.2.4** Third Parties who are the purported source of the designated information, only to the extent disclosure is reasonably necessary to verify the source of the information, and only if the third party source has signed the Agreement to Be Bound (Exhibit A);
  - 4.2.5 The Court and its personnel;
- 4.2.6 Outside court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary, and who have signed the Agreement to Be Bound (Exhibit A);
- **4.2.7** During their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the Agreement to Be Bound (Exhibit A); and
- **4.2.8** The author or recipient of a document containing the material, or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.

# 5. PROSECUTION BAR RELATED TO CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND PRODUCTION OF PII IN THIS CASE.

Plaintiffs contend Defendants have mismanaged the PII of Plaintiffs and putative class members and seek discovery about the use and dissemination of putative class members' PII. Defendants have stated concerns that producing documents pursuant to discovery in this action under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure may subject them to liability including under *California Streets & Highways Code* Section 31490. Plaintiffs agree that Defendants may redact from any document or data produced in response to a discovery request the PII of putative class members. Upon entry of this Order, Defendants shall make reasonable efforts to redact from documents to be produced in discovery in this action PII of putative class members, but not evidence that PII was provided to

third parties, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties. By entering into this Stipulation, Plaintiffs will not assert in this litigation or any future litigation that the provision of any materials, information, or data containing their own or putative class members' PII that is provided by Defendants to Plaintiffs pursuant to this Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order or a prior protective order in this case, is a violation of *California Streets & Highways Code* Section 31490.

Notwithstanding this stipulation, the Defendants' providing of PII to anyone other than those authorized by this or a prior protective order will not impact any claims or entitlement to equitable and injunctive relief of the Plaintiffs or the putative class members based on the Defendants having provided PII to any other third party. Nothing in this Second Amended Protective Order shall be deemed to waive, limit or restrict the right of any party hereto to assert or maintain any objection to or obtain a protective order regarding a specific discovery request.

# 6. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION.

# 6.1 Subpoenas and Court Orders.

This Order in no way excuses noncompliance with a lawful subpoena or court order issued in other litigation. The purpose of the duties described in this section is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Order and to give the designator an opportunity to protect its confidentiality interests in the court where the subpoena or order issued.

# 6.2 Notification Requirement.

If a party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as CONFIDENTIAL, and if the party is not the designator, that party must:

**6.2.1** Promptly notify the designator in writing. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

6.2.2 Promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Order; and

6.2.3 Cooperate with all reasonable procedures sought by the designator whose material may be affected.

# 6.3 Wait For Resolution of Protective Order.

If the designator timely seeks a protective order, the party served with the subpoena or court order in other litigation shall not produce any information that was or is designated in this action as CONFIDENTIAL before a determination by the court where the subpoena or order issued, unless the party has obtained the designator's permission. The designator shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection of its confidential material in that court.

# 7. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF DESIGNATED MATERIAL.

If a receiving party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed designated material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Order, it must immediately (1) notify in writing the designator of the unauthorized disclosures, (2) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the designated material, (3) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (4) use reasonable efforts to have such person or persons execute the Agreement to Be Bound (Exhibit A).

# 8. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL.

When a producing party gives notice that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the receiving parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in

an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e).

# 9. FILING UNDER SEAL.

Without written permission from the designator or a Court order, a party may not file in the public record in this action any designated material. A party seeking to file under seal any designated material must comply with L.R. 79-5.1. To avoid unnecessary requests to file under seal, a party seeking to use or file any designated material in a public filing shall first confer with the designator, identifying the specific items to be used or filed. With the designator's written authorization, designated material may be publicly filed without requesting the Court's permission to file under seal.

Filings may be made under seal only pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific material at issue. The fact that a document has been designated under this Order is insufficient to justify filing under seal. Instead, parties must explain the basis for confidentiality of each document sought to be filed under seal. Because a party other than the designator will often be seeking to file designated material, cooperation between the parties in preparing, and in reducing the number and extent of, requests for under seal filing is essential. If a receiving party's request to file designated material under seal pursuant to L.R. 79-5.1 is denied by the Court, then the receiving party may file the material in the public record unless (1) the designator seeks reconsideration within four (4) days of the denial, or (2) as otherwise instructed by the Court.

## 10. FINAL DISPOSITION.

Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, each party shall return all designated material to the designator or destroy such material, including all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any designated material. The receiving party must submit a written certification to the designator by the 60-day deadline that (1) identifies (by

1	category, where appropriate) all the designated material that was returned or					
2	destroyed, and (2) affirms that the receiving party has not retained any copies,					
3	abstracts, compilations, summaries, or any other format reproducing or capturing					
4	any of the designated material.					
5						
6						
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD, SUBJECT TO					
8	THE COURT'S APPROVAL.					
9	NOSSAMAN LLP					
10	Date: January 30, 2018  E. GEORGE JOSEPH BENJAMIN Z. RUBIN					
11	ASHLEY J. REMILLARD					
12	By: /s/ Benjamin Z. Rubin					
13	Benjamin Z. Rubin					
14	Attorneys for Defendants					
15	FOOTHILL/EASTERN TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR					
16	AGENCY; SAN JOAQUIN HILLS TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR					
17	AGENCY; RHONDA REARDON; MICHAEL KRAMAN; CRAIG					
18	YOUNG; SCOTT SCHOEFFEL; and ROSS CHUN					
19						
20	Date: January 30, 2018 WOODRUFF, SPRADLIN & SMART M. LOIS BOBAK					
21						
22	By: /s/ M. Lois Bobak					
	M. Lois Bobak					
23	Attorneys for Defendants ORANGE COUNTY					
24	TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, LORI DONCHAK, and DARRELL JOHNSON					
25	JOHNSON					
26						
27						
28	9					

SECOND AMENDED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	Data: January 20, 2019	ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN &
2	Date: January 30, 2018	BENTLEY STEPHEN J. ERIGERO
3		TIMOTHY J. LEPORE
4		By: /s/ Timothy J. Lepore
5		Timothy J. Lepore
6		Attorneys for Defendants BRIC-TPS LLC and WILLIAM P.
7		DUFFY
8		EACDE DAVED DANIEL CLID
9	Date: January 30, 2018	FAGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP TARIFA B. LADDON
10		AARON D. VAN OORT NATE BRENNAMAN
11		D //N / D
12		By: /s/ Nate Brennaman  Nate Brennaman
13		
14		Attorneys for Defendants 3M COMPANY
15		COAST LAW GROUP
16	Date: January 30, 2018	HELEN I. ZELDES
17		By: /s/ Helen I. Zeldes
18		Helen I. Zeldes
19		Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel
20		
21	Date: January 30, 2018	LINDEMANN LAW FIRM, APC BLAKE J. LINDEMANN
	bater variatily 50, 2010	BEARE J. ENVOEWN IVIV
22		By: /s/ Blake J. Lindemann
23		Blake J. Lindemann
24		Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel
25		
26		
27		
28		10
	SECOND AMENDED STIPU	LATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1 2	Date: January 30, 2018	CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA LLP MICHAEL J. FLANNERY
3		By: /s/ Michael J. Flannery
4		Michael J. Flannery
5		Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel
		activistadoscut provinci. En actas con concentració i delegación del con concentración del con-
6 7	Date: January 30, 2018	COFIROUTE USA, LLC KEN E. STEELMAN
8		New York of the Control of the Contr
9		By: /s/ Ken Steelman
		Ken Steelman
10	-	Attorneys for Defendant COFIROUTE USA, LLC
11		50 Mar - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	SECOND AMENDED STIPU	JLATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

30		
1		
2		
3	Date: February 5, 2018 By: Magistra	te
4	Hon Andrew I Guil	Judge, <del>ford</del>
5		
6 7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	
	SECOND AMENDED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE C	OKDER

### **EXHIBIT A**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

2

1

3

5

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

I, [print or type full name], of \_\_\_\_\_ [print or type full address], declare that I have read in its entirety and understand the Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California on [date] in the matter of *In re: Toll Roads* Litigation, Penny Davidi Borsuk, et al. v. Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, et al., U.S. District Court C.D. C.A. Case No. 8:16-cv-00262 AG (JCGx). I agree to comply with and to be bound by all terms of the Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I also understand and acknowledge that the Parties to the abovereferenced action may have legal remedies available to them against me for failure to comply with the Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to the Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of the Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order.

I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District

Court for the Central District of California for the purposes of enforcing the terms of the Second Amended Stipulated Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after the termination of the above-referenced action. I hereby appoint \_\_\_\_\_\_ [print or type full name]

of \_\_\_\_\_\_ [print or type full address and phone number] as my California agent for service of

-

SECOND AMENDED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

		ond Amended St		Cicotivo (	
Date:				_	
At:			_		
	[City and Stat	te Where Sworn	and Signed	i]	
Printed Nar	ne:				
Signature:					
		,			
		3	1		

### SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to U.S. District Court for the Central District of California Local rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I hereby attest and certify that the content of this document is acceptable to all counsel listed above, and that I have obtained said counsel's authorization to affix their electronic signatures to this document.

/s/ Benjamin Z. Rubin

Benjamin Z. Rubin

SECOND AMENDED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1		PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	The undersigned declares:					
3	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the					
4	age o Nossa	f 18 and am not a party to the within action; my business address is c/o aman LLP, 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800, Irvine, CA 92612.				
5	Stipu	On January 30, 2018, I served the following documents: Second Amended lated Protective Order on parties to the within action as follows:				
6 7	П	(By U.S. Mail) On the same date, at my said place of business, Copy enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as shown on the attached service				
8		list was placed for collection and mailing following the usual business practice of my said employer. I am readily familiar with my said employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for				
9		mailing with the United States Postal Service, and, pursuant to that practice, the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service, with postage thereon fully prepaid, on the same date at Irvine,				
11		California.				
12		(By Overnight Service) I served a true and correct copy by overnight delivery service for delivery on the next business day. Each copy was				
13		enclosed in an envelope or package designated by the express service carrier; deposited in a facility regularly maintained by the express service				
14 15		carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive documents on its behalf; with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown on the accompanying service list.				
16 17 18	(By Electronic Service) By emailing true and correct copies to the persons at the electronic notification address(es) shown on the accompanying service list. The document(s) was/were served electronically and the transmission was reported as complete and without error.					
19		Executed on January 30, 2018.				
20	X.	(FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United				
21		States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.				
22		/s/ Stephanie N. Clark				
23		Stephanie N. Clark				
24		Stephanie IV. Clark				
25						
26						
27						
28						
		PROOF OF SERVICE				

1	S	ERVICE LIST
2		
3	Blake J. Lindemann, Esq. LINDEMANN LAW FIRM, APC	M. Lois Bobak, Esq. WOODRUFF, SPRADLIN & SMART,
4	433 N. Camden Drive, 4th Floor	APC
5	Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Telephone: (310) 279-5269	555 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1200 Costa Mesa, CA 92626
6	Facsimile: (310) 300-0267	Telephone: (714) 558-7000
7	Email: blake@lawbl.com	Facsimile: (714) 835-7787 Email: lbobak@wss-law.com
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Cla	ass
9		Attorneys for Defendants Orange County Transportation
10		Authority and Darrell Johnson
11	Helen I. Zeldes, Esq.	Alreen Haeggquist, Esq.
12	COAST LAW GROUP	Aaron M. Olsen, Esq.
13	1140 S. Coast Highway 101 Encinitas, CA 92024-5003	HAEGGQUIST & ECK, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2050
14	Telephone: (760) 942-8505	San Diego, CA 92101
15	Email: helen@coastlaw.com	and the second of the second o
	ben@coastlawgroup.c	
16	andy@coastlawgroup	.com Email: alreenh@zhlaw.com Email: aarono@haelaw.com
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Cla	$\odot$
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19		Todd Quarles, Todd Carpenter
20		and Lori Myers
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	Aaron D. Van Oort, Esq.	Stephen J. Erigero, Esq.
2	Nate Brennaman, Esq.	Timothy J. Lepore, Esq.
3	Tyler A. Young, Esq. FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP	ROPERS MAJESKI KOHN & BENTLEY, PC
4	2200 Wells Fargo Center	445 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3000
3350	90 South Seventh Street	Los Angeles, CA 90071
5	Minneapolis, MN 55402	Telephone: (213) 312-2000
6	Telephone: (612) 766-7000	Facsimile: (213) 312-2001
7	Facsimile: (612) 766-1600 Email: nate.brennaman@faegrebd.com	Email: stephen.erigero@rmkb.com Email: timothy.lepore@rmkb.com
8	Email: aaron.vanoort@faegrebd.com	Email:tahereh.mahmoudian@rmkb.com
9	Email: tyler.young@faegrebd.com Email: cicely.miltich@faegrebd.com	Attorneys for BRiC-TPS LLC and
10	Email: Cicely.inittich@faegreod.com	William P. Duffy
11	Attorneys for 3M Company	
12	Ken E. Steelman, Esq.	David F. Brown, Esq.
13	COFIROUTE USA, LLC	CORBETT STEELMAN AND
	200 Spectrum Center Drive, Suite 1650	SPECTER
14	Irvine, CA 92618 Telephone: (949) 754-0198	18200 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 825 Irvine, CA 92612-7148
15	Facsimile: (949) 754-0199	Telephone: (949) 553-9266
16	Email: ksteelman@cofirouteusa.com	Facsimile: (949) 553-8454
17	Attamana for Co-Smouto LISA LLC	Email: dbrown@corbsteel.com
18	Attorneys for Cofiroute USA, LLC	Attorneys for Cofiroute USA, LLC
37725521		
19	Michael McShane, Esq.	Aaron Dolgin, Esq.
20	S. Clinton Woods, Esq.	AARON DOLGIN LAW OFFICES
21	AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP 711 Van Ness Ave., Suite 500	19831 Redwing Street Woodland Hills, CA 91364
22	San Francisco, CA 94102	Telephone: (818) 515-0573
23	Telephone: (415) 568-2555	Email: dolgin1@juno.com
24	Facsimile: (415) 568-2556 Email: mmcshane@audetlaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class
	Email: cwoods@audetlaw.com	Thomas in Transmis and the class
25		
26	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class	
27		
28		
		7

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	Michael J. Flannery, Esq. CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA LLP
2	7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1675
3	St. Louis, MO 63105 Telephone: (314) 226-1015
4	Facsimile: (202) 789-1813
5	Email: mflannery@cuneolaw.com
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

PROOF OF SERVICE