Regena Bryant V. OptumRX Pharmacy, Inc. et al

Dod. 189

1	This action came on regularly for trial on June 27, 2017, in the United States
2	District Court for the Central District of California, Magistrate Judge Douglas F.
3	McCormick presiding. Plaintiff REGENA BRYANT appeared in pro per.
4	Defendants UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC., OPTUM SERVICES, INC.,
5	UNITEDHEALTH GROUP, INC., and OPTUMRX, INC. ("Defendants") were
6	represented by Michael S. Kalt and Christina C.K. Semmer of Wilson Turner Kosmo
7	LLP.
8	A jury of 8 persons was impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and
9	testified. After hearing the evidence and the arguments of the attorneys and parties,
10	the jury was instructed by the Court. The jurors retired to consider their verdict. After
11	returning to Court, the jury announced its verdict in favor of Defendants on Plaintiff's
12	race discrimination claim under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and further
13	announced its verdict in favor of Defendants on Plaintiff's age discrimination claim
14	under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act.
15	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
16	judgment be entered in the above-captioned action in favor of Defendants as the
17	prevailing party and that Plaintiff shall take nothing by her Second Amended
18	Complaint.
19	In accordance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 54(d) and L.R. 54 of
20	the Central District of California, Defendants are the prevailing parties for the
21	purposes of recovering costs in this action.
22	V 20
23	DATED: July 18, 2017
24	THE HON. DOUGLAS F. MCCORMICK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	

-1- Case I JUDGMENT AFTER JURY TRIAL

Case No. 8:16-cv-00478 DFM