

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. SACV 16-01776 JVS (KSx) Date January 27, 2017

Title John Ho v. ESA Investment Corp.

Present: The Honorable James V. Selna

Karla J. Tunis
Deputy Clerk

Not Present

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

Proceedings: (In Chambers)

**2ND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

The Court ORDERS plaintiff(s), to Show Cause (OSC), in writing, no later than **February 10, 2017**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution as to the defendants. As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will consider the filing of one of the following, as an appropriate response to this OSC, on or before the above date:

X **Answer by the defendant(s) or plaintiff's request for entry of default.**

Absent a showing of good cause, an action shall be dismissed if the summons and complaint have not been served upon all defendants within 90 days after the filing of the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) The Court may dismiss the action prior to the expiration of such time, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action.

It is the plaintiff's responsibility to respond promptly to all orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time under Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. Local Rule 7-1

Initials of Preparer kjt