Gordon Ray Bodkin v. Kim Holland

Doc. 21

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2001) (petitioner not entitled to evidentiary hearing because he failed to show "what more an evidentiary hearing might reveal of material import"). Further, the Court's ability to consider new evidence obtained through an evidentiary hearing is constrained by 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e)(2), and Petitioner has not satisfied this standard. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(e)(2); see also Pinholster, 563 U.S. at 186.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Petition is DENIED; and (2) Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.

DATED: September 1, 2017

By ROE

BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE