Н

	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10	e-ImageData Corp.,	Case No. 8:16-mc-00026-CJC (JCGx)	
	11	Plaintiff,	[Case No. 2:15-cv-658-LA Pending in the	
	12		Eastern District of Wisconsin]	
	13 14	۷.	PROTECTIVE ORDER	
	14	Digital Check Corp. d/b/a ST Imaging,		
	16	Defendant.		
17 The Co				
	The Court has reviewed plaintiff e-ImageData Corp.'s ("e-Image") and third pa			
	19	Total Imaging Solutions' ("TIS") Stipulation (dated January 11, 2017; the "Stipulation"), whereby the parties agreed to adopt and be bound by the Protective Order already in		
20 21		place in the underlying lawsuit, e-ImageData Corp. v. Digital Check Corp. d/b/a ST		
		Imaging, Case No. 15-cv-00658-RTR (U.S.D.C., E.D. of Wis.), with the additional		
	22	provision that TIS shall have all the rights of a party as set forth therein (the "Additional Provision"). Based on the representations made by e-Image and TIS in that Stipulation and its own review of this matter, this Court hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:		
	23			
	24			
	25	1. The Protective Order entered by the Honorable Rudolph T. Randa on		
LEWIS	26	November 12, 2015 in the matter of e-ImageData Corp. v. Digital Check Corp. d/b/a ST		
	27	Imaging, Case No. 15-cv-00658-RTR (the "Wisconsin Protective Order"), attached to the		
BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	28	4826-7659-0656.1		

Stipulation as Exhibit A, is hereby entered in this matter.

2 2. The Court further modifies the Wisconsin Protective Order so as to provide
 3 full rights and privileges thereunder to TIS as if it were a "party" in the underlying
 4 proceeding and so as to attach the Additional Provision, which is also a part of Exhibit A
 5 to the Stipulation.

Good cause exists for the entry of this Order as the parties in good faith
believe that certain information in the instant discovery proceeding may yield information
which either party could consider trade secrets, proprietary information, confidential
research and development information, and/or nonpublic technical, commercial, financial,
personal or business information that the parties respectively wish to maintain in
confidence in the ordinary course of business as contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)
and Civil L. R. 37. Plaintiff and TIS also operate in the same industry, servicing the same
or similar customers, and as such they reasonably believe that public disclosure of such
confidential information would cause financial and competitive harm to the disclosing
party.

16 Dated this 12th day of January, 2017

Int.

The Honorable Jay C. Gandhi United States Magistrate Judge United States District Court, Central District of California

LEVVIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28