1			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	PRIME ADMINISTRATION LLC,	CASE NUMBER:	
10		CA CV 17 00120 IV.C (DEM.)	
11	Plaintiff	SA CV 17-00129-JVS (DFMx)	
12	v.		
13	ROBERT MORALES et al.,	ORDER REMANDING CASE TO	
14		STATE COURT	
15			
13	Defendant(s).		
	Defendant(s).		
16 17		ion to the California Superior Court for the	
16	The Court sua sponte REMANDS this act	cion to the California Superior Court for the oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below.	
16 17	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act	•	
16 17 18	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state	
16 17 18 19	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of <u>Orange</u> for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress."	
16 17 18 19 20	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of <u>Orange</u> for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal,	
116 117 118 119 220 221 222	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where Court must remain the	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal, val jurisdiction. Id.; Nevada v. Bank of America	
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U. v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where C those statutes are strictly construed against remove Corp., 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); Gaus v. 1	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal, val jurisdiction. Id.; Nevada v. Bank of America	
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U. v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where C those statutes are strictly construed against remove Corp., 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); Gaus v. 1	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal, val jurisdiction. Id.; Nevada v. Bank of America Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). ongress, a defendant may remove "any civil	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where Cothose statutes are strictly construed against remove Corp., 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); Gaus v. Unless otherwise expressly provided by Company of the Corp. Substitute of the Corp. Substitut	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal, val jurisdiction. Id.; Nevada v. Bank of America Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). congress, a defendant may remove "any civil ct courts of the United States have original	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where Cothose statutes are strictly construed against remove Corp., 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); Gaus v. Unless otherwise expressly provided by Coaction brought in a State court of which the distri	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal, val jurisdiction. Id.; Nevada v. Bank of America Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). congress, a defendant may remove "any civil ct courts of the United States have original 2, 724 F.3d 1249, 1252 (9th Cir. 2013). The	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	The Court <u>sua sponte</u> REMANDS this act County of Orange for lack of sub "The right of removal is entirely a creature court must remain there until cause is shown for <u>Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson</u> , 537 U. <u>v. Alexander</u> , 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where C those statutes are strictly construed against remove <u>Corp.</u> , 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); <u>Gaus v. Duless otherwise expressly provided by Caction brought in a State court of which the distripurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); <u>Dennis v. Harten</u></u>	oject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. e of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state its transfer under some act of Congress." S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. Congress has acted to create a right of removal, val jurisdiction. Id.; Nevada v. Bank of America Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). congress, a defendant may remove "any civil ct courts of the United States have original 2, 724 F.3d 1249, 1252 (9th Cir. 2013). The	

Dow Chemical Co., 443 F.3d 676, 682 (9th Cir. 2006); Gaus, 980 F.2d at 566-67. "Under the plain terms of § 1441(a), in order properly to remove [an] action pursuant to that provision, [the removing defendant] must demonstrate that original subject-matter jurisdiction lies in the federal courts." Syngenta Crop Protection, 537 U.S. at 33. Failure to do so requires that the case be remanded, as "[s]ubject matter jurisdiction may not be waived, and . . . the district court must remand if it lacks jurisdiction." Kelton Arms Condo. Owners Ass'n v. Homestead Ins. Co., 346 F.3d 1190, 1192 (9th Cir. 2003). "If at any time before final judgment it appears that the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be remanded." 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). It is "elementary that the subject matter jurisdiction of the district court is not a waivable matter and may be raised at anytime by one of the parties, by motion or in the responsive pleadings, or sua sponte by the trial or reviewing court." Emrich v. Touche Ross & Co., 846 F.2d 1190, 1194 n.2 (9th Cir. 1988).

From a review of the Notice of Removal and the state court records provided, it is evident that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the instant case, for the following reasons.

- ✓ No basis for federal question jurisdiction has been identified:
 - ✓ The Complaint does not include any claim "arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
 - Removing defendant(s) asserts that the affirmative defenses at issue give rise to federal question jurisdiction, but "the existence of federal jurisdiction depends solely on the plaintiff's claims for relief and not on anticipated defenses to those claims." ARCO Envtl. Remediation, L.L.C. v. Dept. of Health and Envtl. Quality, 213 F.3d 1108, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000). An "affirmative defense based on federal law" does not "render[] an action brought in state court removable." Berg v. Leason, 32 F.3d 422, 426 (9th Cir. 1994). A "case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense . . . even if the defense is anticipated in the plaintiff's complaint, and even if both parties admit that the defense is the only question truly at issue in the case." Franchise Tax Bd. v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 14 (1983).
 - The underlying action is an unlawful detainer proceeding, arising under and governed by the laws of the State of California.

27

28

1	✓ Diversity jurisdiction is lacking:	
2	[7] Every defendant is not allowed to be diverse from every plaintiff 29 U.S.C. 6	
3	Every defendant is not alleged to be diverse from every plaintiff. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).	
5	The Complaint does not allege damages in excess of \$75,000, and removing	
6	defendant(s) has not shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the amount in controversy requirement has been met. <u>Id.</u> ; <u>Abrego Abrego</u> , 443 F.3d at 683.	
7	✓ The underlying unlawful detainer action is a limited civil action that does not	
8	exceed \$25,000.	
9	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this matter be, and hereby is, REMANDED to the Superior	
10	Court of California listed above, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Data: January 20, 2017	
13	Date: January 30, 2017	
14	United States District Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		