

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SA CV 17-314 AG (MRWx)	Date	November 14, 2017
Title	Slominski v. Life Ins. Co. of N.A.		

Present: The Honorable Michael R. Wilner

Veronica Piper

n/a

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Petitioner:

Attorneys Present for Respondent:

None present

None present

Proceedings: ORDER RE: PROTECTIVE ORDER

The parties submitted a proposed protective order regarding discovery in this civil action. (Docket # 17.) The Court accepts and ENTERS the proposed order subject to the following:

1. ¶ 6 – Any challenge to a confidentiality designation must comply in full with the joint filing format described in Local Rule 37 for all discovery motions.
2. The parties failed to provide the Court with an adequate statement of good cause regarding the litigation. See Oliner v. Kontrabecki, 745 F. 3d 1024, 1026 (9th Cir. 2014). As stated in the Court’s preferred proposed protective order (available online at Judge Wilner’s procedures page at cacd.uscourts.gov), the parties must identify legitimate bases for the entry of such an order that are specific to this action; no boilerplate allowed. The entry of this order is contingent on the filing of such a statement within five court days.
3. In the future, the parties are advised to consult the webpage of the assigned judges to determine whether they have a preferred base form protective order for the use of litigants. FYI, Judge Wilner’s proposed order is derived from a recommendation from the Los Angeles County Bar Association’s litigation section.