

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Kinnick, Wallace Coats, Maryanne Brogan, Andrea Smolek, Danny Dickerson, Robert Fockler, Amy Franklin, Donald House, Dave Loomis, Joseph McCallister, Arron Miller, Ricky Montoya, Lynn North, Mark Rice, Reid Schmitt, James Smith, and Chris Stackhouse ("Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives"), individually and as representatives of the Class, entered into a Settlement Agreement with defendants Hyundai Motor America ("HMA"), Hyundai Motor Company ("HMC"), Kia Motors Corporation ("KMC") and Kia Motors America ("KMA") (collectively the "Parties") and moved this Court for an order granting final approval to their class action settlement. The Court carefully considered the Settlement Agreement (Doc. 194-1), the motion for final approval, all supporting papers, the arguments of counsel, and all objections to the proposed settlement, and granted final approval of the class action settlement on May 10, 2021 ("Final Approval Order," Docs. 201, 202).

Plaintiffs Cara Centko, Jenn Lazar, Christopher Stanczak, Rose Creps, James

Now, in consideration of the entire record herein, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal 1. jurisdiction over the Parties in this action.
- 2. This Order and Final Judgment incorporates herein the Settlement Agreement (Doc. 194-1), the Preliminary Approval Order (Doc. 132), and the Final Approval Order (Docs. 201, 202). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined here shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Class, as defined in the Court's Final Approval Order, was certified for settlement purposes only pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and is defined as follows:

All owners and lessees of a Class Vehicle who purchased or leased the Class Vehicle in the United States, including those that were purchased while the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

owner was abroad on active U.S. military duty, but excluding those purchased in the U.S. territories and/or abroad.<sup>1</sup>

4. The Court found that certification of the Class was appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 for the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order and the Final Approval Order. Specifically, the Court concluded that: (i) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous as to make joinder impracticable; (ii) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class, and such questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Settlement Class; (iii) the Class Representatives' claims and the defenses thereto are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class and the defenses thereto; (iv) the Class Representatives and their counsel can protect and have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the members of the Settlement Class in the

The Class excludes all claims for death, personal injury, property damage, and subrogation. The Class also excludes: (a) Hyundai Motor America ("HMA"), Hyundai Motor Company ("HMC"), Kia Motors Corporation ("KMC") and Kia Motors America ("KMA"); (b) any affiliate, parent, or subsidiary of HMA, HMC, KMC or KMA; any entity in which HMA, HMC, KMC or KMA has a controlling interest; any officer, director, or employee of HMA, HMC, KMC or KMA; any successor or assign of HMA, HMC, KMC or KMA; any judge to whom this Action is assigned, his or her spouse, and all persons within the third degree of relationship to either of them, as well as the spouses of such persons; (c) individuals and/or entities who validly and timely opt-out of the settlement; (d) consumers or businesses that have purchased Class Vehicles previously deemed a total loss (i.e., salvage or junkyard vehicles) (subject to verification through Carfax or other means); (e) vehicle owners or lessees who rent or previously rented the Class Vehicle for the use of third parties; (f) new and used motor vehicle dealerships engaged in the business of buying, selling or dealing in motor vehicles; (g) banks, credit unions or other lienholders; and (h) current or former owners of a Class Vehicles who previously released their claims in an individual settlement with HMA, HMC, KMC and KMA with respect to the issues raised the Action (for the purpose of clarity, individual owners of 2011-2014 Hyundai Sonatas who released claims against HMA and HMC in the settlement reached in Mendoza v. Hyundai Motor Company Ltd., et. al., Case No. 15-cv-01685-BLF (N.D. Cal.) are not excluded from the claims of the Class).

- 5. The Class Notice was disseminated in accordance with the procedures required by the Court's Orders (Docs. 132, 137), in accordance with applicable law, and satisfied the requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process and constituted the best notice practicable for the reasons discussed in the Preliminary Approval Order and Final Approval Order.
- 6. The Court held a hearing on November 13, 2020 to consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement, and adequate notice of the proceedings was given to Settlement Class Members, with a full opportunity to participate in the fairness hearing.
- 7. The Court carefully considered and overruled any objections to the proposed settlement that have been filed.
- 8. The Court concluded that the Settlement is a fair, reasonable and adequate compromise of the claims asserted in this action for the reasons set forth in the Final Approval Order. Specifically, the Court considered each of the factors in Rule 23(e)(2) and each of the eight factors set forth in *Staton v. Boeing Co.*, 327 F.3d 938, 959 (9th Cir. 2003). The factors the Court considered included: "(1) the strength of plaintiffs' case; (2) the risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation; (3) the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial; (4) the amount offered in settlement; (5) the extent of discovery completed, and the stage of the proceedings; (6) the experience and views of counsel; (7) the presence of a governmental participant; and (8) the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement." *Id.* The Court found the factors supported final approval.

- 9. The Court further carefully considered Plaintiffs' request for (1) \$6,900,000 in attorneys' fees to Class Counsel; (2) actual costs up to \$175,000 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation expenses; and (3) \$3,500 to each Plaintiff as service awards in connection with this Action (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) (Fees Mot., Doc. 139).
- 10. The Court concluded the requested attorneys' fees, costs, and service awards are reasonable under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and awarded them to Plaintiffs and Class Counsel.
- 11. If the Final Approval Order is set aside, materially modified, or overturned by this Court or on appeal, and is not fully reinstated on further appeal, this Order certifying a Settlement Class shall be vacated *nunc pro tunc*.
- 12. All Parties are bound by the Final Approval Order, this Order and Final Judgment, and the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. All Class members, except those who timely opted out (Doc. 191-1), are bound by the Final Approval Order and this Order and Final Judgment.
- 14. The Court dismisses, on the merits and with prejudice, the above-captioned action and all claims currently pending before it belonging to Class members who did not request exclusion from the Class in the time and manner provided for in the Class Notice ("Settlement Class Members").
- 15. As of the Effective Date of the Settlement Agreement, Releasors (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) irrevocably release, waive, and discharge any and all past, present, and future liabilities, claims, causes of action, legal claims, damages, costs, attorneys' fees, losses, or demands that have been brought or could have been brought, whether known or unknown, existing or potential, or suspected or unsuspected relating to Class Vehicles against Releasees (as defined in the Settlement Agreement), whether or not specifically named herein, asserted or unasserted, under or pursuant to any statute, regulation, common law, or equitable principle, based on (i) the facts alleged in any complaint filed in the Action and all

16. Upon issuance of the Final Approval Order and this Order and Final Judgment: (i) the Settlement shall be the exclusive remedy for Class members; (ii) Releasees shall not be subject to liability or expense of any kind to any Class member(s) for reasons related to the Action except as set forth herein; and (iii) Class members shall be permanently barred from initiating, asserting, or prosecuting any and all released claims against the Releasees.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17. All members of the Class who did not make a valid request for exclusion in the time and manner provided in the Class Notice are barred, permanently enjoined, and restrained from commencing or prosecuting any action, suit, proceeding, claim or cause of action in any jurisdiction or court against HMA, KMA, Hyundai America Technical Center, Inc. (also doing business as Hyundai Kia America Technical Center), Hyundai Motor Company, Kia Motors Corporation, all

affiliates of the Hyundai Motor Group or any other Releasee based upon, relating to, or arising out of, any of the Released Claims.

- 18. The Settlement Agreement, acts performed in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement, and documents executed in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the settlement set forth therein may not be deemed or used as evidence of an admission or other statement supporting: (a) the validity of any claim made by Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members, or Class Counsel (including the appropriateness of class certification); (b) any wrongdoing or liability of the Releasees; or (c) any fault or omission of the Releasees in any court, administrative agency, or other proceeding.
- 19. Neither the Settlement Agreement (nor any Addendum thereto) shall be offered or be admissible in evidence against HMA, KMA, Hyundai America Technical Center, Inc. (also doing business as Hyundai-Kia America Technical Center), Hyundai Motor Company, Kia Motors Corporation, all affiliates of the Hyundai Motor Group, and/or their affiliates or cited or referred to in any action or proceeding, except in an action or proceeding that is in furtherance of its terms or brought to enforce its terms.
- 20. If this Order and Final Judgment is set aside, materially modified, or overturned by this Court or on appeal, and is not fully reinstated on further appeal, this Order and Final Judgment shall be deemed vacated and shall have no force or effect whatsoever.
- 21. Without affecting the finality of the Final Approval Order or this Order and Final Judgment in any way, the Court reserves continuing jurisdiction over matters relating to the Settlement, including, without limitation, the administration,

interpretation, effectuation and/or enforcement of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, and this Final Order and Judgment. DATED: June 10, 2021 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE