Suzanne Napier v. Office Depot, Inc. et al Doc. 22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. SA CV 17-1124-DOC (DFMx) Date: September 19, 2017

Title: SUZANNE NAPIER V OFFICE DEPOT, INC., ET AL

PRESENT: The Honorable DAVID O. CARTER, U.S. District Judge

Deborah Goltz	None
Courtroom Clerk	Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF:	ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT:
None Present	None Present

PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

The Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff (s) to show cause in writing no later than **September 22, 2017** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following as an appropriate response to this OSC if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently:

- **Corrected Request for Clerk to Enter Default against Defendant Gluckstein Fountain Valley Plaza 2, L.P.**

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff(s) is due.

MINUTES FORM 11
CIVIL-GEN

Initials of Deputy Clerk: djg

Dockets.Justia.com