

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
COUNTY OF ORANGE, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 8:20-cv-00322-JWH (GJS)  
**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE**

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Fourth Amended  
 2 Complaint [Dkt. No. 56], all documents filed and lodged in this action, Defendants’  
 3 Motion for Terminating Sanctions (the “Sanctions Motion”) and the related briefing  
 4 and orders [Dkt. Nos. 126-29, 133, 140, 145-48, & 151-52], Defendants’ Motion for  
 5 Summary Judgment (the “Summary Judgment Motion”) and its related briefing and  
 6 orders [Dkt. Nos. 139-139-9, 141, 144, 149-149-4, & 153-153-4], the Report and  
 7 Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (the “Report”) [Dkt. No. 163],  
 8 Plaintiff’s Objections to the Report [Dkt. No. 168], and Defendants’ Reply [Dkt.  
 9 No. 169]. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules  
 10 of Civil Procedure, the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of those portions of  
 11 the Report to which objections have been stated.

12 The Court has carefully considered all of the arguments raised in the  
 13 Objections to the Report. Having completed its review, the Court **ACCEPTS** the  
 14 findings, conclusions, and recommendations set forth in the Report.

15 Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- 16 1. The Summary Judgment Motion is **GRANTED**.
- 17 2. The Sanctions Motion is **DENIED**.
- 18 3. Judgment shall be entered **DISMISSING** this action **with prejudice**.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20  
 21 DATE: February 16, 2023

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 JOHN W. HOLCOMB  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28