1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 LUFTHANSA TECHNIK AG, 11 Case No. 8:22-mc-00034-JVS-KES 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND 13 v. RECOMMENDATION OF U.S. THALES AVIONICS, INC., 14 MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the pleadings and all 19 the records and files herein, along with the Report and Recommendation of the 20 United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 95). No objections to the Report and 21 Recommendation were filed, and the deadline for filing such objections has passed. 22 The Court accepts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the United 23 States Magistrate Judge. 24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that: 25 Lufthansa's application (Dkt. 45) is granted in part and denied in part; 1. 26 Lufthansa is authorized to issue the subpoena modified by the Court 2. 27 28

and attached to the R&R, with a 60-day deadline for compliance¹;

- 3. Lufthansa and Thales should each bear 50% of the reasonable costs of complying with the subpoena; and
- 4. determining the amount of Thales' reasonable costs in complying with the subpoena is referred back to the Magistrate Judge to decide as a non-dispositive, pretrial matter under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

DATED: August 29, 2024

AMES V. SELNA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ This deadline does not prevent the parties from stipulating to reasonable extensions of time.