

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No. 8:24-cv-00643-JVS(ADSx) Date May 10, 2024

Title E.I.M.D. v. Arovast Corporation

Present: The  
Honorable

**James V. Selna, U.S. District Court Judge**

Elsa Vargas

Not Present

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

**Proceedings:** (IN CHAMBERS) **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL  
FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

The Court, on its own motion, hereby ORDERS plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than **May 17, 2024**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will consider the filing of one of the following, as an appropriate response to this OSC, on or before the above date:

**Answer by the defendant(s) or plaintiff's request for entry of default.**

Absent a showing of good cause, an action shall be dismissed if the summons and complaint have not been served upon all defendants within 90 days after the filing of the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) The Court may dismiss the action prior to the expiration of such time, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action.

It is the plaintiff's responsibility to respond promptly to all orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time under Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. Local Rule 7-1

**It is so Ordered.**