

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	8:24-cv-01562-DOC-KES	Date	January 8, 2025
Title	Anan M. Hanson et al v. John Jory Corporation et al		

Present: The Honorable **DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Karlen Dubon
Deputy Clerk

None Present
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:
None Present

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff (s) to show cause in writing no later than **January 13, 2025** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following as an appropriate response to this OSC if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently:

(1) Deft(s) Answer to the Complaint OR Plaintiff’s Request for Default.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff(s) is due. Failure to respond by the date above will result in a Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution.

Plaintiff counsel is ordered to serve this order on all defendants.

Initial of Deputy Clerk - : -
kdu