## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No. SACV 24-01904-FWS (JDEx)

Date September 26, 2024

Title Ebony Willis v. Barclays Bank Delaware et al

Present: The Honorable FRED W. SLAUGHTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Melissa H. Kunig
Deputy Clerk

Not Reported
Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

<u>Attorneys Present for Defendants:</u>

None Present None Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR

LACK OF PROSECUTION

"[I]t is the plaintiff's responsibility to move a case toward a merits disposition." Thomas v. Kernan, 2019 WL 8888200, at \*1 (C.D. Cal. July 10, 2019) (citing Morris v. Morgan Stanley & Co., 942 F.2d 648, 652 (9th Cir. 1991)). That includes, where applicable, promptly (a) filing stipulations extending a defendant's time to respond to the complaint, (b) pursuing default and remedies under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 when a defendant fails to timely respond to the complaint, or (c) dismissing a case the plaintiff has chosen not to pursue for any reason.

Here, Plaintiff has filed a proof of service, yet the deadline for Defendant to respond to the Complaint has passed and Plaintiff has taken no action. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, hereby **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause in writing, no later than **October 2**, **2024**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by Plaintiff, the Court will consider as an appropriate response to this OSC the filing of one of the following on or before the above date:

- 1. Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default as to <u>Defendant Experian Information Solutions</u>, <u>Inc.</u> or <u>Defendant Defendants Experian Information Solutions</u>, <u>Inc.</u>'s <u>Answer</u>,
- 2. A stipulation extending Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint that complies with Local Rule 8.3, or
- 3. A notice of Voluntary Dismissal (Fed. R. Civ. P. 41) as to all Defendants.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a timely and appropriate response. Failure to file a timely and appropriate response to this Order may result in dismissal without further notice or order from the court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); L. R. 41-6; Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 629 (1962) ("The authority of a federal trial court to dismiss a plaintiff's action with prejudice because of his failure to prosecute cannot seriously be doubted."); Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) ("[C]ourts may dismiss under Rule 41(b) sua sponte, at least under certain circumstances."); Ash v. Cvetkov, 739 F.2d 493, 496 (9th Cir. 1984) ("It is within the inherent power of

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

	Case No.	SACV 24-01904-FWS (JDEx)	Date	Septem	ber 26	, 2024	ļ
	Title	Ebony Willis v. Barclays Bank Delaware et al					
the court to sua sponte dismiss a case for lack of prosecution.").							
				-	:	-	
Initial of Deputy Clerk				nku			_