

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8

9 KEITH EDWARD ADCOX,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 RON DAVIS, Warden of San Quentin State  
13 Prison,

14 Respondent.  
15

Case No. 1:92-cv-05830-LJO-SAB

DEATH PENALTY CASE

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED  
REQUEST TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER  
SEAL

(Doc. No. 211)

16 Before the Court is Petitioner's September 6, 2018 request, by and through appointed co-  
17 counsel, the Office of the Federal Defender, to file ex parte and under seal his separately  
18 submitted: (i) Request to seal documents, (ii) Motion document to be filed under seal relating to  
19 counsel appointment and budgetary matters, and (iii) Proposed order on the motion. Petitioner  
20 states the documents proposed for sealing relate solely to legal representation and include  
21 confidential and privileged information.

22 No opposition has been received and the time for submitting opposition has expired.  
23 Local Rule 141(c).

24 The Court has reviewed the request and the noted separately submitted documents and  
25 HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 26 1. Petitioner's request is GRANTED, the Clerk of the Court is directed to file ex  
27 parte and under seal, with service upon only counsel for Petitioner: (i) The request  
28 to seal documents totaling three (3) pages, (ii) The motion document to be filed

1 under seal totaling three (3) pages with fifty-one (51) page attachment, and (iii)

2 The proposed order on the motion totaling two (2) pages,

3 2. The foregoing documents and the information therein constitute confidential  
4 information which shall not be disclosed, in whole or part, to any person other  
5 than the Court and Court staff and individually named counsel for their use solely  
6 in connection with litigation of the habeas corpus proceeding pending before this  
7 Court,

8 3. No publicly filed document shall include the above documents and/or the  
9 information therein unless authorized by the Court to be filed under seal, and

10 4. All provisions of this order shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of  
11 this habeas corpus proceeding and specifically shall apply in the event of a retrial  
12 of all or any portion of Petitioner’s criminal case, except that either party may  
13 request modification or vacation of this order upon entry of final judgment.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: September 10, 2018



16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE