1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:96-cv-05304-DAD-MJS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VACATE CONSENT DECREE	
14	ROBERT J. DIEPERSLOOT, AN INDIVIDUAL, DOING BUSINESS AS	OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
15	DUTCH APPLE FARMS DAIRY,	(Doc. No. 13)	
16	Defendant.		
17			
18	On April 19, 2022, defendant Robert J. Diepersloot, an individual formerly doing business		
19	as Dutch Apple Farms Dairy, filed a motion with the court seeking an order vacating the consent		
20	decree of permanent injunction entered against defendant on March 26, 1996. Pursuant to		
21	paragraph seventeen of that consent decree, this court retained jurisdiction over this action and the		
22	parties for the purpose of enforcing and/or modifying the decree and for the purpose of granting		
23	such additional relief as may be necessary and appropriate. (Doc. No. 6-2 at 13.)		
24	Defendant has alleged in his pending motion that he has satisfied all of his obligations		
25	under the consent decree. (Doc. No. 13.) On April 20, 2022, plaintiff filed a statement of non-		
26	opposition to defendant's motion to vacate the consent decree of permanent injunction. (Doc. No.		
27	14.)		
28	/////		

1	In light of the foregoing, defendant's motion to vacate the consent decree and permanent	
2	injunction in this case (Doc. No. 13) is hereby granted.	
2		granted.
	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: May 2, 2022	Dale A. Drad
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2