

1 JOHN F. BARG (State Bar No. 60230)
 (jfb@bcltlaw.com)
 2 MARC A. ZEPPELLO (State Bar No. 121185)
 (maz@bcltlaw.com)
 3 BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP
 350 California Street, 22nd Floor
 4 San Francisco, California 94104-1435
 Telephone: (415) 228-5400

5 Attorneys for BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,
 6 as successor to THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE
 RAILWAY COMPANY

7
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
 11 THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE
 RAILWAY COMPANY, et al.,
 12
 Plaintiffs,
 13
 v.
 14 HERCULES INCORPORATED, et al.,
 15
 Defendants.

Case No.: 1:96-cv-05879 OWW DLB
 (CONSOLIDATED SHAFTER CASES)

**JUDGMENT FOR BNSF RAILWAY
 COMPANY AND THE DOW
 CHEMICAL COMPANY AGAINST
 BROWN & BRYANT, INC. AND JOHN
 H. BROWN**

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b)]

**THE HONORABLE OLIVER W.
 WANGER**

16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21 On July 26, 2010, the Court issued Order Adopting Findings and Recommendations and
 22 Imposing Terminating Sanctions Against the Brown & Bryant Parties (Brown & Bryant, Inc., John
 23 H. Brown, an individual, and Ed. A. Brown, an individual) for their willful failure to comply with
 24 the Court’s discovery orders (“Order Imposing Terminating Sanctions”). The Order Imposing
 25 Terminating Sanctions provides, *inter alia*, that default judgment be entered against the Brown &
 26 Bryant Parties in favor of BNSF Railway Company (“BNSF”) and The Dow Chemical Company
 27 (“Dow”) as to their remaining claims in this action against the Brown & Bryant Parties.

1 On March 29, 2011, Dow filed a Motion for Determination of Recoverable Response Costs
2 and Entry of Judgment Against the Brown & Bryant Parties (“Dow Motion”). On April 15, 2011,
3 BNSF filed a Motion for Entry of Judgment Against the Brown & Bryant Parties (“BNSF
4 Motion”). David R. Griffin, counsel of record for the Brown & Bryant Parties, was given notice of
5 the BNSF Motion, and on April 29, 2011, Mr. Griffin filed a written non-opposition to the granting
6 of the Dow Motion and the BNSF Motion on behalf of Brown & Bryant Inc. and John H. Brown.
7 On May 20, 2011, the Court granted Dow’s Motion and BNSF’s Motion as to Brown and Bryant,
8 Inc. and John H. Brown.¹

9 In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(c), the Court hereby makes the
10 following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

- 11 a. On July 26, 2010, default was entered against the Brown & Bryant Parties pursuant to
12 the Court’s Order Imposing Terminating Sanctions for the Brown & Bryant Parties’
13 willful failure to comply with discovery orders. (Document No. 460)
- 14 b. In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 and *Adriana Int’l Corp. v.*
15 *Thoeren*, 913 F.2d 1406, 1414 (9th Cir. 1990)(citing *Geddes v. United Financial*
16 *Group*, 559 F.2d 557, 560 (9th Cir. 1977), the factual allegations contained in BNSF’s
17 Second Amended Complaint against the Brown & Bryant Parties and Dow’s
18 Counterclaim for Cost Recovery and Contribution against the Brown & Bryant Parties
19 are presumed to be true in assessing the damages to which BNSF and Dow are entitled.
- 20 c. BNSF and Dow have established a *prima facie* case of CERCLA liability against the
21 Brown & Bryant Parties, as shown by the following facts:
 - 22 i. the 15-acre site located at 135 Commercial Street in Shafter, California (“the B&B
23 Shafter Site”) is a “facility” within the meaning of that term under CERCLA, 42
24 U.S.C. Sections 9601(9);
 - 25 ii. there has been a “release” or threatened release of a “hazardous substance” at or
26 from the facility within the meaning of those terms under CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§

27
28 ¹ Ed Brown is deceased and judgment is not entered against his estate.

1 9601(22) and (14), respectively;

- 2 iii. the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the B&B Shafter Site
3 has caused BNSF and Dow to incur response costs that were “necessary” and
4 “consistent with the national contingency plan,” 40 C.F.R. Part 300; and
5 iv. the Brown & Bryant Parties, and each of them, are within the classes of persons
6 subject to liability under CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), as owners,
7 former owners and operators at the time of disposal, and “arrangers” as they own a
8 portion of the B&B Shafter Site and/or formulated, stored and handled agricultural
9 chemicals and hazardous substances at the B&B Shafter Site.
- 10 d. BNSF has incurred damages in the sum of \$3,721,868.23 for response costs, exclusive
11 of interest and costs, incurred from June 14, 1991 though April 1, 2011, at the B&B
12 Shafter Site. *See* Declaration of David C. Clark in Support of BNSF Railway
13 Company’s Motion for Entry of Default Judgment Against The Brown & Bryant
14 Parties, filed on April 15, 2011 (Document No. 479).
- 15 e. Dow has incurred damages in the sum of \$917,606.39 for response costs, exclusive of
16 interest and costs, incurred from December 1993 though October 2009, at the B&B
17 Shafter Site. *See* Declaration of Dr. Larry Bone in Support of Motion of The Dow
18 Chemical Company for Determination of Recoverable Response Costs and Entry of
19 Judgment (Rule 55(B), Fed.R.Civ.Proc.), filed on March 29, 2011 (Document No. 472),
20 and Declaration of Stephen McKae in Correction of Motion of The Dow Chemical
21 Company for Determination of Recoverable Response Costs and Entry of Judgment
22 (Rule 55(B), Fed.R.Civ.Proc.) for Post-Filing Partial Return of Funds, filed on May 13,
23 2011 (Document No. 488).
- 24 f. These costs incurred by BNSF and Dow are necessary and consistent with the national
25 contingency plan.

26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 27 a. That BNSF recover from Brown & Bryant, Inc. and John H. Brown, jointly and
28 severally, the sum of \$3,721,868.23 for response costs incurred from June 14, 1991

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

through April 1, 2011, at the B&B Shafter Site.

- b. That Dow recover from Brown & Bryant, Inc. and John H. Brown, jointly and severally, the sum of \$917,606.39 for response costs incurred from December 1993 through October 2009 at the B&B Shafter Site.
- c. That BNSF recover costs of suit from Brown & Bryant, Inc. and John H. Brown, jointly and severally.
- d. That Dow recover costs of suit from Brown & Bryant, Inc. and John H. Brown, jointly and severally.
- e. The matter having been fully adjudicated, the Court finds no just reason for delaying entry of final judgment for BNSF and Dow, and final judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) hereby is and shall be entered in favor of BNSF and Dow and against Brown & Bryant, Inc. and John H. Brown.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 8, 2011

/s/ OLIVER W. WANGER
United States District Court Judge