1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	JOHN LEE HOLT,	Case No. 1:97-cv-06210-DAD-SAB	
11	Petitioner, v.	DEATH PENALTY CASE	
12		ORDER UPON STIPULATION REGARDING EVIDENTIARY HEARING	
13	RON DAVIS, Warden Of San Quentin State Prison,	(Doc. No. 276)	
14	Respondent.		
15			
16	Perfore the court is the stimulation of patit	ionar's councel Depart M. Myore and Assistant	
17	Before the court is the stipulation of petitioner's counsel, Robert M. Myers and Assistant		
18	Federal Defender Jennifer Mann, and respondent's counsel, Deputy Attorneys General Sean M.		
19	McCoy and Peter W. Thompson, filed August 28, 2017, to an order regarding presentation of		
20	evidence and expansion of the record at the evidentiary hearing scheduled for October 24, 2017.		
21	The court finds good cause to grant the stipulated request. "On application for a writ of		
22	habeas corpus, evidence may be taken orally or by deposition, or, in the discretion of the judge,		

27 corpus] by or in behalf of the same petitioner, shall be admissible in evidence." (28 U.S.C. §
28 2247.) The court "may direct the parties to expand the record by submitting additional materials

by affidavit...." 28 U.S.C. § 2246; Phillips v. Smith, 300 F. Supp. 130, 134 (S.D. Ga. 1969) (use

of affidavits in habeas corpus applications is within the sound discretion of the district judge).

"[D]ocumentary evidence, transcripts of proceedings upon arraignment, plea and sentence and a

transcript of the oral testimony introduced on any previous similar application [for writ of habeas

23

24

25

26

relating to the petition." Rules Governing § 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts,
 Rule 7.

Accordingly, the parties having stipulated for purposes of the previously ordered
evidentiary hearing:

- The report of petitioner's expert, Dr. Pablo Stewart, (Doc. No. 266) will be his
 direct testimony. Respondent may cross-examine Dr. Stewart at the evidentiary
 hearing. After cross-examination, petitioner may redirect, followed by further
 cross-examination by respondent, if desired. The record is expanded with Dr.
 Stewart's report.
- 10
 2. The report of respondent's expert, Dr. Marvin Firestone (Doc. Nos. 237, 272) will
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 16
 17
 18
 19
 10
 10
 10
 11
 12
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 17
 18
 19
 19
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 11
 12
 12
 14
 15
 16
 17
 17
 18
 19
 19
 10
 10
 10
 11
 12
 14
 15
 16
 17
 17
 18
 19
 19
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 10
 <li
- The footnote on page 7 of Dr. Firestone's report, which respondent filed on
 October 1, 2015 (Doc. No. 237), shall not constitute part of his direct testimony.
 With this limitation, the record is expanded with Dr. Firestone's report.
- 4. The reporter's transcripts and the clerk's transcripts from *People v. John Lee Holt*,
 Kern County Superior Court Case No. 39910, are deemed part of the record for
 the evidentiary hearing.
- 5. Petitioner's expert, Dr. John Marraccini, will not testify. His declaration (Exhibit
 3 to petitioner's first state habeas corpus petition, No. S057078) and his Rule 26
 report (Doc. 236-1) will constitute his sole testimony. The record is expanded
 with Dr. Marraccini's report.
- 6. The record is expanded with the transcript of respondent's deposition of Charles
 Soria, taken October 5, 2007. The deposition is not submitted as a substitute for
 direct examination testimony from either party. Expansion to include the
 deposition does not obligate or preclude respondent from calling Mr. Soria, nor
 does it waive respondent's right to cross-examine Mr. Soria should petitioner call

1		him to testify.		
2	7.	The parties need not redact petitie	oner's date of birth and Social Security number	
3		from any exhibit that is filed o	or lodged for the evidentiary hearing as these	
4		identifiers were previously filed	as part of the state habeas corpus proceedings	
5		(California Supreme Court Case N	No. S057078).	
6	8.	8. The prosecution provided the note that is reproduced as Exhibit 136 to petitioner's		
7		first state habeas corpus petition (No. S057078) to petitioner's trial counsel as part		
8	of supplemental discovery in early September 1989.			
9				
10	IT IS SO OI	RDERED.	Dale A. Drogd	
11	Dated:	September 5, 2017	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12			entile states bistalet jebel	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		3	3	