

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK L. RICHARDSON,

1:99-cv-06575-OWW-GSA-PC

Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS
(Doc. 89)

vs.

H. BRYANT, et al.,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Defendants.

_____ /

Patrick L. Richardson (“plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

On December 18, 2007, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction be denied. Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations within thirty days. To date, plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73-305, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire

///

1 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper
2 analysis.

3 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

4 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on December
5 18, 2007, are ADOPTED IN FULL; and

6 2. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, submitted as part of the Second
7 Amended Complaint filed July 3, 2007, is DENIED.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 **Dated: February 5, 2008**

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28