1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KAVIN M. RHODES,) 1:02cv05018 LJO DLB PC
12	Plaintiff,))) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST
13	v.) FOR COPY OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT
14	ROBINSON, et al.,)) (Document 300)
15	Defendants.))
16		_)
17	Plaintiff Kavin M. Rhodes ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on August 5, 2013. On September 9, 2013,	
20	Plaintiff filed a request for an extension of time to file an opposition. The request was granted by	
21	separate order.	
22	Plaintiff's request for an extension also included a request for "a complete copy of the	
23	transcript" of his April 4, 2013, deposition. Plaintiff states that prior to the commencement of the	
24	deposition, Defendants' counsel stated that he would receive a copy of the deposition. Plaintiff has	
25	not received the copy, and contends that he needs the deposition to oppose Defendants' "gross	
26	misrepresentations" of his testimony in their Motion for Summary Judgment. Mot. 2.	
27		
28		
		1

1	Plaintiff is not entitled to a free copy of his deposition transcript. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(3).	
2	Plaintiff is entitled to review the transcript and make changes, which was explained to him at the	
3	beginning of the deposition, but the request for review must have been made before the completion of	
4	the deposition. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)(1). If Plaintiff made a timely request, the Court requests that	
5	Defendants ensure the review to which he is entitled occurs.	
6	Insofar as Plaintiff believes that Defendants have misrepresented his testimony, Defendants	
7	have attached the relevant portions of Plaintiff's deposition to their Motion for Summary Judgment	
8	and Plaintiff can review the attachments. Moreover, the Court has a complete copy of Plaintiff's	
9	deposition and can assess Defendants' statements accordingly	
10	To the extent Plaintiff is seeking a free copy of the transcript, however, his motion is HEREBY	
11	DENIED.	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: September 16, 2013 /s/ Dennis L. Beck	
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	