On November 3, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a cross-appeal from the Court's final judgment.

Thereafter, on November 4, 2011, the Court approved the supersedeas bond posted by Defendants.

Given the procedural posture of this case, including the supersedeas bond, the stay of enforcement and the pending cross-appeals, the Court requests that the parties address the propriety of ruling on the claim for fees at this time, including whether or not the parties seek a ruling at this time, deferral of a ruling or denial of the motion without prejudice until the appeals have been resolved. *See, e.g.*, Advisory Committee notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d) (If an appeal on the merits of the case is taken, the court may rule on the claim for fees, may defer its ruling on the motion, or may deny the motion without prejudice, directing under subdivision (d)(2)(B) a new period for filing after the appeal has been resolved). The parties shall file a joint statement addressing this issue on or before December 7, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 1, 2011 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE