

1	Councel for Duran has mot and conformed with the Atterney Constal's Office. The	
	Counsel for Duran has met and conferred with the Attorney General's Office. The	
2	Attorney General's Office does not object to using a prerecorded video deposition of Duran in	
3	lieu of live testimony, as long as counsel for the State Defendants has the ability to participate in	
4	the video conference from a location in Sacramento.	
5	Counsel for Duran has met and conferred with plaintiff. Plaintiff also agrees to the video	
6	deposition of defendant Duran via video conference.	
7	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED:	
8	Dated: August 31, 2009	LONGYEAR, O'DEA & LAVRA, LLP
9		
10		By: <u>/s/ Jennifer Marquez</u>
11		JENNIFER MARQUEZ Attorney for Defendant
12		R. Duran
13	Dated: August 31, 2009	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OF THE
14		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16		By: <u>/s/ Megan O'Carroll</u> MEGAN R. O'CARROLL
17		Attorney for Defendants Tyner, Alameida, Prunty, Chen,
18		Yarborough, Calderon, Vasquez, Sullivan, Winett, Witcher, Stradley, Lundy, Fortson,
19		Traynham, Mack, Wells, Jimenez, Bowman, Johnson
LONG ¥ 5566208 20	A She Table 1 (1997) A She Tab	PLAINTIFF IN PRO PER
21		By: See Attached Fax Signature
22		Charles James Chatman P-99062
23		
24		
25		ORDER
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: September 1, 2009	/s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		CINER OWITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Video Deposition of Rodrigo Duran Conducted Via Video Conference	
		Page 2