1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES CHATMAN,

v.

Plaintiff,

SERGEANT C. TYNER, et al., Defendants.

1:03-CV-6636 AWI SMS P ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

(Document #151)

Plaintiff Charles Chapman is proceeding with a prisoner civil rights action. This
action is proceeding to trial on the following claims: (1) A First Amendment retaliation claim
arising out of Plaintiff's placement in the SHU; (2) An Eighth Amendment claim in which
Plaintiff alleges Defendant exposed him to freezing temperatures; and (3) An Eighth Amendment
claim stemming from Plaintiff's placement and retention in a flooded cell.

Local Rule 270 (a) provides that a "settlement conference shall be held in all actions unless otherwise ordered by the Court on objection of a party or for other good cause." In this action, Plaintiff is incarcerated and Defendants' and their attorneys are located great distances from Plaintiff. At this time, Plaintiff has submitted a settlement demand to Defendants. Given the logistical concerns present in this case, the court will not require a court settlement

1	conference unless at least one Defendant expresses an interest in a settlement conference. If any	
2	Defendant is interested in a court assisted settlement conference, they should contact the	
3	chambers of Magistrate Judge Sandra M. Snyder and a settlement conference will be arranged.	
4	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for a court ordered settlement conference is DENIED.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated:February 9, 2010/s/ Anthony W. IshiiCHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	