(DP) Lewis v. Brow	vn "	Doc. 97
1		
2		
3		
4		
·		
5		DISTRICT COLUDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	RAYMOND ANTHONY LEWIS	Case No. 1:03-cv-06775 LJO
10	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE
11	VS.	ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S RENEWED THIRD REQUEST TO
12	KEVIN CHAPPELL, as Acting Warden of San Quentin State Prison,	EXTEND THE SCHEDULE FOR MERITS BRIEFING
13	Respondent.	
14)	
15		
16		
17		
18	This matter is before the Court on the renewed third unopposed motion of Respondent Kevin	
19	Chappell, as Acting Warden of San Quentin State Prison (the "Warden") for a 14-day extension of time	
20	within which to file his brief in opposition to the opening brief of Petitioner Raymond Anthony Lewis	
21	("Lewis"). Having reviewed the reasons submitted, the Court finds sufficient justification for the	
22	requested 14-day extension. No further extensions will be granted.	
23	The motion IS GRANTED as follows:	
24	1. The Warden's opposition brief to Lewis's opening brief shall be filed on or before June 5, 2012;	
25	2. Lewis's reply brief shall be filed within 60 days from the filing of the Warden's opposition brief;	
26	3. The filing of Lewis's reply brief shall be fol	lowed by his motion for further factual development
27	pursuant to Rules 6, 7, and 8 of the § 2254 Rules, within 45 days.	
28		
	031 (775 OC (P. P. 12 POTA) (7 7	1
	03dp6775.OGrantRespRenewed3rdEOT4Briefing.Lew.wpd	1
		Dockets Justia com

Dockets.Justia.com

1	4. The Warden's opposition to Lewis's motion for further factual development shall be filed within
2	30 days of the motion;
3	5. Lewis's reply shall be due within 20 days following the Warden's opposition.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: May 18, 2012
8	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill Lawrence J. O'Neill United States District Judge
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	