

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8	ANDY MARK WHITE,)	1:03cv06898 DLB
9)	
10)	ORDER GRANTING COUNSEL'S
11	Plaintiff,)	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
12)	ATTORNEY OF RECORD
13	v.)	(Document 37)
14	MODESTO POLICE OFFICER HICKS,)	ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE
15	et al.,)	April 15, 2009, 9:30 a.m.
16)	Courtroom 9
17)	
18	Defendants.)	ORDER VACATING MOTION IN LIMINE
19)	AND VACATING MOTION FOR PARTIAL
20)	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
21)	(Documents 40 and 41)
22)	
23)	ORDER VACATING PRETRIAL
24)	CONFERENCE OF JANUARY 1, 2009, AND
25)	VACATING TRIAL OF FEBRUARY 24, 2009

26 Stephen Solano ("Counsel"), attorney for Plaintiff Andy Mark White, filed the instant
27 motion to withdraw as Plaintiff's attorney of record. The matter was heard on January 9, 2009,
28 before the Honorable Dennis L. Beck, United States Magistrate Judge. Counsel Steven Solano
appeared. James Wilson appeared on behalf of Defendants.

29 BACKGROUND

30 Plaintiff Andy Mark White ("Plaintiff") filed the instant civil rights action on December
31 22, 2003, against Modesto Police Officer Hicks, Modesto Chief of Police Roy Wasden, the
32 Modesto Police Department and the City of Modesto. Plaintiff alleges federal civil rights causes
33 of action arising out of events occurring on or about August 11, 2003. Defendant Officer Hicks
34 reportedly shot Plaintiff in the right buttock during an attempted arrest of Plaintiff.

1 _____ All Defendants have answered the complaint.

2 _____ A scheduling order was entered in this matter on October 7, 2005, setting a jury trial for
3 May 8, 2006.

4 _____ In January 2006, the parties requested a settlement conference.

5 On March 1, 2006, Counsel and the defendants appeared for a settlement conference
6 before the Honorable Dennis L. Beck. Plaintiff did not appear and the settlement conference was
7 not held.

8 On March 22, 2006, the parties filed a stipulation to vacate and reset the pretrial
9 conference and trial dates in this matter due to conflicts with the Plaintiff's criminal jury trial.
10 Pursuant to the stipulation, the Court continued the pretrial conference and trial dates.

11 _____ In June 2006 and in November 2006, the parties again stipulated to vacate and reset the
12 pretrial conference and trial dates due to potential Fifth Amendment conflicts with Plaintiff's
13 unscheduled criminal jury trial. The Court continued the dates.

14 On February 21, 2007, the matter was reassigned to the Honorable Dennis L. Beck for all
15 purposes. Due to the reassignment, the Court reset the pretrial conference for September 14,
16 2007, and the trial for October 30, 2007.

17 Pursuant to stipulations filed in February 2007, August 2007, and March 25, 2008, the
18 pretrial conference and trial dates were reset three additional times due to Plaintiff's criminal jury
19 trial.

20 On August 4, 2008, the parties again stipulated to vacate the pretrial and trial dates due to
21 Plaintiff's conflicting criminal jury trial in Stanislaus County Superior Court, which was
22 scheduled for November 4, 2008. The Court reset the pretrial conference for January 9, 2009, at
23 1:30 p.m., and the jury trial for February 24, 2009.

24 On December 4, 2008, Counsel filed the instant motion to withdraw as attorney of record
25 for Plaintiff. The motion was served on Plaintiff by mail at his last known residence address and
26 at Deuel Vocational Institute in Tracy, California. Plaintiff Andy White did not file a response to
27 the motion.

1 On December 5, 2008, Defendants filed a statement of non-opposition to the motion.¹

2 **DISCUSSION**

3 Local Rule 83-182(d) provides:

4 Subject to the provisions of subsection (c), an attorney who has appeared may not
5 withdraw leaving the client in propria persona without leave of Court upon
6 noticed motion and notice to the client and all other persons who have appeared.
7 The attorney shall provide an affidavit stating the current or last known address or
8 addresses of the client and the efforts made to notify the client of the motion to
9 withdraw. Withdrawal as attorney is governed by the Rules of Professional
Conduct of the State Bar of California, and the attorney shall conform to the
requirements of those Rules. The authority and duty of the attorney of record
shall continue until relieved by order of the Court issued hereunder. Leave to
withdraw may be granted subject to such appropriate conditions as the Court
deems fit.

10 Where withdrawal from a case is not mandatory under the Rules of Professional conduct,
11 an attorney must ordinarily continue representation despite a case becoming unpleasant or
12 unprofitable.

13 Counsel first moves for permissive withdrawal under Rule 3-700(C)(1)(d), which
14 provides for withdrawal because the client's conduct "renders it unreasonably difficult for the
15 member to carry out the employment effectively." Counsel contends that Plaintiff has
16 continually failed to communicate effectively with him and Plaintiff's actions have made it
17 impossible for Counsel to continue as attorney. Counsel asserts that Plaintiff breached his
18 agreement not to commit other criminal acts and did not inform Counsel of a criminal action in
19 San Joaquin County.

20 Counsel explains that Plaintiff has missed every appointment with Counsel in the last two
21 years except for one and that Plaintiff did not appear at the settlement conference in this case.
22 Declaration of Stephen Solano ("Solano Dec."), p. 3. He has had only one personal interview
23 with Plaintiff and two telephone calls. Solano Dec., pp. 3-4.

24 Counsel further declares that he informed Plaintiff that if he was involved in any other
25 criminal activity or lied, then Counsel would withdraw from the case. Solano Dec., p. 3.

27 ¹On December 30, 2008, Defendants filed a Motion in Limine and a Motion for Partial Judgment on the
28 Pleadings. Defendants also filed their pretrial statement. On January 5, 2009, Plaintiff's counsel filed a pretrial
statement on behalf of Plaintiff.

1 Plaintiff did not inform Counsel that “he either had another felony criminal case in San Joaquin
2 County or that he committed another criminal offense in San Joaquin County” since Counsel
3 agreed to represent him. Solano Dec., p. 3. Counsel reports that on December 2, 2008,
4 Plaintiff’s wife telephoned Counsel to inform him that Plaintiff had been sentenced on November
5 24, 2008, in San Joaquin County Superior Court, to five years in the California Department of
6 Corrections. Solano Dec., p. 3. On December 4, 2008, Counsel telephoned the San Joaquin
7 County jail and was informed that Plaintiff had been sentenced and was being transported to
8 Deuel Vocational Institute. Solano Dec., p. 3.

9 Next, as a mandatory basis of withdrawal, Counsel cites Rule 3-700(B)(1), which requires
10 withdrawal where counsel knows that the client is bringing an action or asserting a position in
11 litigation without probable cause and for the purpose of harassing or maliciously injuring another
12 person. Alternatively, Counsel cites permissive withdrawal under Rule 3-700(C)(1)(a), where
13 withdrawal is permitted when the client “insists upon presenting a claim or defense that is not
14 warranted under existing law and cannot be supported by a good faith argument for an extension,
15 modification, or reversal of existing law,” Rule 3-700(C)(1)(c), which permits withdrawal when
16 the client “insists that the member pursue a course of conduct that is illegal or that is prohibited
17 under these rules or the State Bar Act” and Rule 3-700(C)(1)(e), which permits withdrawal where
18 the client “insists, in a matter not pending before a tribunal, that the member engage in conduct
19 that is contrary to the judgment and advice of the member but not prohibited under these rules or
20 the State Bar Act.”

21 Counsel asserts a loss of faith in the case. Counsel believes he is obligated to withdraw
22 because of Plaintiff’s conduct and because of discovery in this case. Counsel avers that an
23 attorney may withdraw if a client insists on presenting a claim that the attorney believes is not
24 warranted under existing law, such as where an attorney doubts a client’s credibility or
25 legitimacy of claim.

26 At the hearing, Counsel explained that he had not had any communication with Plaintiff
27 Andy White regarding the instant motion. However, Plaintiff’s wife, who was present at the
28 hearing, reported to Counsel that Plaintiff acknowledged the condition regarding no criminal

1 activity. Plaintiff's wife also indicated to the Court that Plaintiff was not objecting to the Motion
2 to Withdraw.

3 Based on the foregoing, Counsel's motion is GRANTED.

4 **Plaintiff shall be substituted in pro se. Plaintiff's address is Deuel Vocational**
5 **Institute is located at P.O. Box 600, Tracy, California 95378. Plaintiff's inmate number is**
6 **G3867.**

7 A status conference is SET before the undersigned on April 15, 2009, at 9:30 a.m. in
8 Courtroom 9. The parties may appear telephonically. In addition, the parties shall file a written
9 status report on or before April 1, 2009.

10 The pending Motion in Limine Seeking an Order Excluding the Admission of Evidence
11 and Any Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiff White Was Unarmed at the Time of the
12 Shooting Incident (Document 40) and the pending Motion for Partial Judgment on the Pleadings
13 (Document 41) are VACATED.

14 Finally, the pretrial conference of January 9, 2009, and the jury trial of February 24, 2009,
15 are VACATED.

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 **Dated: January 12, 2009**

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE