27

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBERT GLEN MISTRIEL,	CASE NO. 1:03-cv-06922-AWI-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS	
13	V.		
14	KERN COUNTY, et al.,	(Docket No. 66)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	′		
18	On November 7, 2011, Plaintiff filed a "Notice of Change of Address," noting that his		
19	address had changed to 3021 Ogden Lane, Stockton, California, 95206. However, the return address		
20	on the Notice of Change of Address reflected Plaintiff's address as "KVSP C8-220, Post Office Box		
21	5013, Delano, California 93216-5103." (Doc. 66.) <sup>1</sup> Unless Plaintiff has been released from custody,		
22	3021 Ogden Lane, Stockton, California 95206, is not an address where Plaintiff actually resides.		
23	There is no Federal Rule of Civil Procedure or Local Rule in the Eastern District of		
24	California concerning the service of court and other legal documentation at an address other than a		
25	pro se litigant's actual address of residence.	pro se litigant's actual address of residence. Local Rule 131, Local Rule 182(f), and Local Rule	
26	183(b) require pro se litigants to inform the Court of their addresses and to keep the Court informed		

28 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff previously filed a Notice of Change of Address on August 2, 2011, noting his address as KVSP, P.O. Box 6000, Delano, California, 93216. (Doc. 55.)

1	of any change in their addresses. There is no authority under which a pro se litigant may request that	
2	documents be served at an address where the litigant does not reside. See Robles v. Mgmt. &	
3	Training Corp., No. 1:09-cv-01279-AWI-GSA-PC, 2010 WL 3154122, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 6,	
4	2010) (denying pro se litigant's request to change his address of record to his daughter's address).	
5	While there may be special circumstances under which a court would permit a pro se litigant	
6	to change the litigant's address of record to one other than his or her actual address of residence, such	
7	circumstances have not been presented here. To the extent that Plaintiff wishes the Court to consider	
8	permitting service at an alternative address, he should file a motion that sets forth a statement of the	
9	circumstances that warrant this change.	
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
11	1. Plaintiff's November 7, 2011, Notice of Change of Address is DISREGARDED and	
12	STRICKEN from the record; and	
13	2. Plaintiff may file a motion requesting service at an alternative address.	
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: November 10, 2011 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
28	2	