1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8			
9	DONALD GLASS ,	Case No. 1:04-cv-5466-OWW-SMS PC	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
11	VS.	Date: May 4, 2010	
12	R. BEER, et al.,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: Nine	
13	Defendants.	Magistrate Judge Dennis L. Beck	
14	/		
15	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding pro se with an action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case		
16			
17	2010, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom #9. A separate writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum to		
18	transport the plaintiff from Kern Valley State Prison (KVSP) will issue concurrently herewith.		
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
20	1. This case is set for settlen	nent conference before Magistrate Judge Dennis L.	
21	Beck, on May 4, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. at the 1	ort the plaintiff from Kern Valley State Prison (KVSP) will issue concurrently herewith. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This case is set for settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Dennis L. on May 4, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. at the U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno,	
22	California, in courtroom number 9. 2. Plaintiff shall attend in person, with defendants' lead counsel and a person with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement on defendants'		
23			
24			
25	behalf. Those in attendance must be prepar	ed to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.	
26			
		1	

Governmental entities may appear through litigation counsel only, but must have immediate 1 access to the individual with settlement authority.¹ The failure of any counsel, party or 2 3 authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of 4 sanctions. 5 3. The parties are directed to submit brief confidential settlement conference statements to the chambers of Magistrate Judge Dennis L. Beck so that they are received no later 6 7 than April 29, 2010. 8 9 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 Dated: <u>April 21, 2010</u> /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 ¹The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any 23 settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989). The individual must also have "unfettered discretion and 24 authority" to change the settlement position of the party. Pittman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person 25 with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. *Pitman* at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain is not adequate. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F. 3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001) 26