

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 RAYVAUGHN ROYCE EMBREY,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 G.J. GUIRBINO,

9 Respondent.
10

CASE NO. 1:04-CV-6101 AWI JMD

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION

(Doc. No. 38)

11
12 On September 15, 2017, the Court denied Petitioner's Rule 60(b)(6) motion. See Doc. No.
13 37. As part of that order, the Court stated that no motions for reconsideration would be
14 considered. See id.

15 On October 2, 2017, Petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration. See Doc. No. 38.

16 The motion for reconsideration will be denied for two reasons. First, it is unauthorized and
17 violates the terms of the Court's September 15, 2017 order. See Doc. No. 37. Second, Petitioner
18 has not shown that reconsideration is warranted. See Marlyn Nutraceuticals, Inc. v. Mucos
19 Pharma GmbH & Co., 571 F.3d 873, 880 (9th Cir. 2009). Rather, the motion expresses
20 disagreements with the Court's conclusions. Clark v. County of Tulare, 755 F.Supp.2d 1075,
21 1099-1100 (E.D. Cal. 2010).

22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for reconsideration
23 (Doc. No. 38) is DENIED.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: October 17, 2017

26 
27 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
28