

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE COMMITTEE CONCERNING  
COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT, et al.,

Case No. 1:04-cv-06121 LJO DLB

ORDER AFTER SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF MODESTO, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

On May 31, 2011, counsel for the parties reported to the Court that they had reached a settlement, pending approval by the individual plaintiffs and the entity defendants. Counsel reported that they and the staffs of the entities agreed to recommend approval of the settlement agreement to the respective boards. Therefore:

1. As soon as possible, Defendants SHALL notify Plaintiffs' counsel of the dates that the entities will consider approval of the settlement agreement;
2. Plaintiffs' counsel SHALL make best efforts to obtain the approval of the settlement agreement by the individual plaintiffs by June 10, 2011. Once approval is obtained, Plaintiffs' counsel SHALL notify defense counsel immediately;
3. If the settlement agreement is approved by the entities, no later than June 30,

1 2011, the parties SHALL file a notice of settlement. The notice of settlement  
2 SHALL set forth the proposed dates by which the acts required to terminate this  
3 litigation, including any acts of the entities or their subordinate agencies and the  
4 filing of the stipulated dismissal, will occur. Once filed, the notice of settlement  
5 SHALL be filed and e-mailed to [JLTOOrders@caed.uscourts.gov](mailto:JLTOOrders@caed.uscourts.gov);

6 4. Discovery is STAYED until June 30, 2011.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: May 31, 2011

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28