## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

5

6

1

2

4 Dana McMaster,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Doctor Thomas, et al.,

No. CV 1-04-6453-FRZ

**ORDER** 

3.76

VS.

7

8

9

1011

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

A review of the record reflects that Plaintiff is seeking to proceed in forma pauperis

("IFP") on appeal. However, as Plaintiff's appeal in this case is not taken in good faith and

is frivolous, Plaintiff's request to proceed IFP on appeal is denied and IFP status is revoked.

14 | See 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(3); Hooker v. American Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir.

2002). As stated in the Court's Order granting summary judgment in this case (Doc. 104),

Plaintiff primarily sued Defendants for deliberate medical indifference in violation of the

Eighth Amendment. However, the undisputed material, admissible evidence before the

Court reflected that Plaintiff received extensive, timely and appropriate medical treatment

19 pertaining to the relatively minor injuries at issue (an ankle injury) which showed that there

was no deliberate medical indifference by Defendants, and that Defendants did not fail to

take reasonable action to address Plaintiff's medical needs. The Clerk of the Court shall

22 | immediately notify and send a copy of this Order to the Ninth Circuit.

DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012.

24

23

25

26

27

Frank R.

Senior United States District Judge

28