| (PC) Tyler v. | Alameida, et al   |
|---------------|---|
|               |   |
|               |   |
| 1             |   |
| 2             |   |
| 3             |   |
| 4             |   |
| 5             |   |
| 6             | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
| 7             |   |
| 8             | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 9             | ELONZA JESSE TYLER, CASE NO. 1:04-cv-06638-LJO-SMS PC   |
| 10            | Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO MODIFY   |
| 11            | SCHEDULING ORDER, WITHOUT<br>v. PREJUDICE, AS MOOT  |
| 12            | EDWARD ALAMEIDA, et al., (Doc. 126)   |
| 13            | Defendants.   |
| 14            |   |
| 15            | This is a civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Plaintiff Elonza Jesse Tyler,     |
| 16            | a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. On March 25, 2010, Defendants Erly and      |
| 17            | Smith filed a motion seeking to modify the schedule order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). In light of the     |
| 18            | Court's recommendation that Plaintiff's amended complaint be dismissed, with leave to amend,          |
| 19            | Defendants' motion to modify the scheduling order is moot. If the second amended complaint is         |
| 20            | found to state cognizable claims, Defendant Erly will be required to respond and the Court will issue |
| 21            | an amended scheduling order at the appropriate juncture.  |
| 22            | Accordingly, Defendants' motion to modify the scheduling order is HEREBY DENIED as                    |
| 23            | moot.   |
| 24            | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 25            | Dated: June 16, 2010 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE                              |
| 26            |   |
| 27            |   |
| 28            |   |
|               | 1   |

Doc. 137