(PC) Harris v	v. Kim et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IINITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	DARREN HARRIS,	CASE NO. 1:05-cv-00003-OWW-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR TRANSCRIPTS ON APPEAL
11	V.	(Doc. 80)
12	KIM, et al.,	(Doc. 60)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	On May 31, 2011, Plaintiff Darren Harris, a state prisoner who was proceeding pro se and	
16	in forma pauperis in this civil action, filed a motion seeking transcripts on appeal. This case was	
17	resolved exclusively on the written record without any hearings and prior to trial. Local Rule 230(1).	
18	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for transcripts is HEREBY DENIED on the ground that there	
19	are no transcripts for this case. ¹	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated:	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ In addition, Plaintiff is notified that the appellate court has access to the court file in this case, and it will request directly any necessary documents that are in the record.	
28		
		1

Doc. 81