(HC) Lopez v. Car	npell II				Doc. 8
	Case 1:05-cv-00481-LJO-TAG Do	ocument 8	Filed 04/25/2005	Page 1 of 1	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	DANIEL CADIEN LODEZ CD	`	1.05 CV 00491 D		
11 12	DANIEL SAPIEN LOPEZ, SR., Petitioner,)	1:05-CV-00481-REC-TAG-HC ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR		
13	v.		APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (DOCUMENT #5)		
14	ROSEANNE CAMPELL, Warden,)	(BOCCIVIEIVI 119)	,	
15		j			
16	Respondent.)			
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute				
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d				
19	479, 481 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 889 (1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.),				
20	cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment				
21	of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules				
22	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of				
23	justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS				
24	HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.				
25					
26 27	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 22, 2005	16	/ Theresa A. Goldne	r	
28	j6eb3d	UNITED S	STATES MAGISTRA	TE JUDGE	
20					
	II .				