1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	Jason Saunders,	) No. CV 1-05-0699-RCC
10	Plaintiff,	) ORDER
11	VS.	
12	Jerry Saunders, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	Derendants.	
15		_/
16	The Court dismissed Plaintiff's case, because he missed a mandatory deadline for	
17	filing an amended complaint. (Doc. 42). Plaintiff filed Motions for Reconsideration, arguing	
18	that he had timely filed a Motion for Extension of Time, which the Court never received.	
19	(Docs. 43 & 44). The Court denied relief, because (i) Plaintiff's filing deadline remained in	
20	place until the Court granted the Motion for Extension of Time, and (ii) Plaintiff provided	
21	no proof as to when or how he mailed the Motion. (Doc. 46).	
22	Plaintiff now argues that the Court denied him relief because he did not file proof of	
23	service of the Motion for Extension of Time, and that this constitutes clear error because he	
24	was not required to serve the Motion. (Doc. 47). This is clearly an erroneous reading of the	
25	Order, and the Court sees no reason to grant Plaintiff relief at this point. See Hamilton v.	
26	Newland, 374 F.3d 822 (9th Cir. 2004); Delay v. Gordon, 475 F.3d 1039, 1044 (9th Cir.	
27	2007).	
28	Accordingly,	

