still had not provided proof of mailing. (Docs. 47 & 48).

28

Plaintiff has now provided proof of mailing and asks the Court again to reconsider dismissal of this action. (Docs. 49 & 51). While this proof of mailing is sufficient, the Court notes Plaintiff has not provided a proposed Third Amended Complaint. As explained in the Order denying Plaintiff's first request for reconsideration, the Court cannot find any injury justifying relief under Rule 59(e) or Rule 60(b)(6) without a proposed Third Amended Complaint. (Doc. 46). In the interests of judicial economy and a fully developed record, the Court will require Plaintiff to file a proposed Third Amended Complaint.¹

In addition, in consideration of the age of this case and significant delays already present in the record, the Court's will exercise its inherent authority to manage its docket in order to move this action more swiftly towards resolution. Plaintiff is advised that the Court is not inclined to grant any further extensions of time. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED Plaintiff shall filed a proposed Third Amended Complaint on or before **December 27, 2011**. The Court will deem Plaintiff's Third Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. 49) ready for ruling on the following day.

DATED this 27th day of September, 2011.

Raner C. Collins United States District Judge

¹The Court notes that, contrary to its August 5, 2011 Order, summary disposition of the motion is not available because Defendants have not yet been served.